1 2	REAL-TIME WATER LEVEL MONITORING USING LIVE CAMERAS AND COMPUTER VISION TECHNIQUES
3	
4	Navid H. Jafari, Ph.D.
5	Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
6	Louisiana State University
7	Email: <u>njafari@lsu.edu</u>
8	
9	Xin Li, Ph.D.
10	School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
11	Louisiana State University
12	Email: <u>xinli@lsu.edu</u>
13	
14	Qin Chen, Ph.D.
15	Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
10	Department of Marine and Environmental Sciences
1/ 10	Northeastern University
18	Email: <u>q.chen@northeastern.edu</u>
19	Can Va La
20	Call-Y u Le Department of Computer Science
21	Department of Computer Science
22	Empile report loss anno 1
23 24	Email: <u>ranger.icy@gmail.com</u>
24 25	Logen D. Potzer
25 26	Staff Engineer
20	Evens Graves Engineers Inc.
27	Evans-Oraves Eligneers, inc.
20	Eman: <u>ibetzei@evans-graves.com</u>
30	Yongging Liang
31	School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
32	Louisiana State University
33	Email: vlian16@lsu edu
34	Linan <u>Jian Costoa a</u>
35	
36	A paper submitted for RE-REVIEW and possible publication in the <i>Computer and Geosciences</i>
37	Journal.
38	
39	
40	September 28, 2020
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	

- 47 48
- 49

Real-Time Water Level Monitoring using Live Cameras and Computer Vision Techniques

Navid H. Jafari¹, Xin Li², Qin Chen³, Can-Yu Le^{4,2}, Logan P. Betzer¹, Yongqing Liang²

50 51

52 **ABSTRACT:** Characterizing urban hydrographs during rain storms, hurricanes, and river floods 53 is important to decrease loss of lives and assist emergency responders with mapping disruptions 54 to operation of major cities. High water marks, stream gages, and rapidly deployed instrumentation 55 are the current state-of-practice for hydrological data during a flood event. The objective of this 56 study was to develop technology that can provide accurate and timely flood hydrographs while 57 harnessing the Big Data generated from videos and images. In particular, levels are predicted from 58 images by using reference objects as a scale. The novelty of this work involved leveraging object-59 based image analysis (OBIA), which used image segmentation training algorithms to differentiate 60 areas of images or videos. In particular, the deep learning-based semantic segmentation technique 61 was trained using images from an MIT database along with images compiled from traffic cameras 62 and the experiments and a case study. The fully convolutional network was used for image 63 segmentation and subsequent object labeling. This algorithm was applied to a laboratory and two 64 field experiments before demonstration at Buffalo Bayou in Houston, TX during Hurricane 65 Harvey. The laboratory and field experiments indicated that the image segmentation technique was 66 reproducible and accurate from a controlled environment to rain storms and localized flooding in 67 small streams on the LSU campus. Moreover, the segmentation algorithm successfully estimated 68 flood levels in Buffalo Bayou in downtown Houston, Texas during Hurricane Harvey. This 69 signifies that if time-lapse imagery is available, this algorithm- and program-estimated water 70 elevations can provide insight to the hydrograph and spatial inundation during flooding from 71 rainstorms or hurricanes.

- 72
- 73

Keywords: Hydrology; Algorithms; Computer Graphics; Image Analysis; Data Processing; Floods

¹ Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Louisiana State University (LSU), Baton Rouge, LA 70803. Email: njafari@lsu.edu, lbetze1@lsu.edu.

² School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803. Email: xinli@lsu.edu, ylian16@lsu.edu.

³ Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Dept. of Marine and Environmental Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115. Email: <u>q.chen@northeastern.edu</u>.

⁴ Dept. of Computer Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China. Email: ranger.lcy@gmail.com.

75 **1. INTRODUCTION**

76 Major rain storms and hurricanes, such as Hurricanes Katrina in 2005; Sandy in 2012; Harvey, 77 Irma, and Maria in 2017; and Florence in 2018; can cause flooding events that disrupt operations 78 of major cities and result in physical infrastructure, social, and economic damages. Magnitude of 79 high water and duration found in hydrographs during these extreme flood events correlate to the 80 extent of these damages. Currently, high water marks and stream gages provide hydrological data, 81 along with debris lines found on structures. For instance, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and 82 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) collected flood data from 2,123 high water 83 marks and 40 USGS stream gages throughout Texas following Hurricane Harvey. This information 84 is important because it assists officials in updating building codes, planning evacuation routes, 85 creating floodplain management ordinances, providing environmental assessments and planning 86 other community efforts to become more flood-resilient (Lu et al., 2018; Rani et al., 2018; Watson 87 et al., 2018; Xiao et al. 2018). However, continuous flood hydrographs are difficult to construct 88 given only the information from water marks and stream gages (Calvo and Savi, 2009; Xing et al., 89 2018). For example, high water marks and debris lines only describe the water elevation at its 90 peak, but it lacks information about the duration of the event, the time of day of peak water levels, 91 or the rate at which the water level rose or fell. When temporal sequence of water level information 92 is available, these signals can be modeled and used to interpolate and extrapolate (predict) the 93 spatial and temporal expanse of flood inundation (Ghorbani et al., 2010; Kisi et al., 2012; 94 Maheswaran and Khosa, 2013), for geographic visualization (Kulkarni et al., 2014), simulations 95 (Chen et al., 2018), and flood warning system for emergency evacuation and response (Wang et 96 al., 2018).

97 Water related data can also be obtained from different sources, such as sensors mounted 98 on stream gages (Ghorbani et al., 2010; Kisi et al., 2012, Maheswaran and Khosa, 2013), airborne 99 sensing (Li et al., 2010), satellite imagery (Kulkarni et al., 2014), wireless sensor networks and 100 geographic information (Horita et al. 2015), and various social media and crowdsourcing data 101 (Wang et al., 2018). Stream gages provide more data on these characteristics, but these instruments 102 are often installed far from the flooded areas of interest to relay accurate and real-time data to 103 emergency operation centers. This dearth of data available for flood hydrograph reconstruction is 104 hampering communities to learn from past events in order to become resilient towards future 105 floods, hurricanes, and sea level rise. Accordingly, the impetus for this study stems from the 106 realization that big datasets of time-lapse videos and images are created every day, including traffic 107 monitoring, private and public security, and social media. For example, the Houston TRANSTAR 108 system consists of approximately 900 cameras that continuously streams live footage. These 109 images are publicly accessible through the internet and hence represent a rich data source if water 110 levels can be extracted from reference objects using computer vision techniques.

111 Extracting water level information from image and video data is nontrivial because it is 112 inherently difficult to segment water. Thus, this work aims to tackle this problem by advancing 113 object-based image analysis (OBIA) techniques. OBIA has been previously applied in conjunction 114 with aerial photography for vegetation classification and urban feature identification, along with 115 damage analysis, disaster management, and risk management (Blaschke, 2010; Garcia et al., 2018; 116 Lee and Yang 2018; Bandini, 2017). Van der Sande et al. (2003) also classified land use in the 117 villages of Itteren and Borgharen in The Netherlands to create a floodplain friction map for use 118 with flood models. Beyond the current applications, this paper describes a novel methodology to 119 estimate water elevations by leveraging time-lapse photos and OBIA. To achieve this objective,

120 two control laboratory experiments and three flood events in bayous and canals around the 121 Louisiana State University (LSU) campus were conducted to develop hydrographs for method 122 verification. A segmentation algorithm was developed to automatically label the water and gage 123 from these experiments. Manually estimated water levels were used to verify the accuracy of the 124 segmentation algorithm and program. To establish the applicability to natural hazards, the 125 segmentation algorithm was subsequently used to estimate flood levels in Buffalo Bayou in 126 downtown Houston, Texas during Hurricane Harvey. The algorithm- and program-estimated water 127 elevations were recorded as hydrographs and compared to in-situ measurements and nearby stream 128 gages. With a validated methodology, the societal impact is immense because databases of time-129 lapse camera images can be collected and analyzed in near real-time to provide insight to the rise 130 and fall of water levels and spatial coverage during flooding from rainstorms or hurricanes.

131

132 2. RELATED WORK

133 **2.1. Contour Detection through Image Segmentation**

134 To automatically segment and extract the contours of the flood and reference objects from an 135 image, a program is needed to outperform semantic segmentation or instance segmentation. 136 Semantic segmentation is the process of automatically labeling regions on each pixel of an image 137 with the category name of the recognized object. Instance segmentation proceeds one step further 138 to distinguish each individual object rather than just a category. Classic image segmentation 139 methods (Alvarez et al., 2010; Barrow and Tenenbaum, 1981; Grady, 2006; Kass et al., 1988; 140 Roerdink and Meijster, 2000) often identify a specific object contour using manually designed 141 features, i.e., color change or its gradient, with a regularization through geometric or elastic 142 smoothness. These methods are often sensitive to initial guess and image noise, as they are easily

143 impeded by local minima. More recent use of deep learning-based algorithms permits extraction 144 of more abstract and robust features that capture local and global characteristics, significantly out 145 performing traditional methods. The most widely adopted deep learning-based semantic 146 segmentation framework comes from the fully convolutional network (FCN) (Long et al., 2015), 147 which trains a series of convolutional layers to extract features and then uses a new 148 deconvolutional operation to upsample the feature vector to infer pixelwise category. Commonly 149 adopted convolutional neural network (CNN) architectures in feature extractors are AlexNet 150 (Krizheysky et al., 2012), VGG16 (Smionyan and Zisserman, 2014), and ResNet (He et al., 2016). 151 Earlier methods (e.g., Hariharan et al., 2014) generated segmentation candidates and extracted 152 features for each candidate, then used a support vector machine (SVM) to classify the candidates 153 by their features into corresponding categories. A widely adopted strategy (Arnab, 2017; Bai, 154 2017; Liu, 2017) starts from semantic segmentation results (e.g., output from FCN), then partitions 155 pixels of the same category into different instances based on their spatial positions.

156 A video is a sequence of images with strong temporal coherency. Consecutive frames are 157 similar and contents only undergo small and continuous deformations. By exploiting this implicit 158 continuity assumption, the robustness of semantic segmentation can be improved but with extra 159 constraints (i.e., computationally expensive). Thus, the current focus in this study is on reducing 160 computation complexity by finding a balance between segmentation accuracy and algorithm 161 efficiency. Shelhamer et al. (2016) indicates that the semantic contents of a scene usually evolve 162 slowly, and the output of deeper layers are more stable than shallower layers. Therefore, a schedule 163 scheme can integrate information in the previous frames into the interface of CNNs. The Deep 164 Feature Flow introduced in Zhu (2017) designs a feature propagation function to transfer features 165 in previous frames to the next, leading to a significant improvement in segmentation efficiency.

166 Another category of semantic video segmentation algorithm exploits temporal consistency 167 across frames to improve the prediction accuracy, such as post-segmentation refinement where 168 upon the FCN-computed segmentation results (Chen et al., 2018a; Lin 2017; Zhu, 2017) and 169 temporal smoothness constraints can be adopted to refine and optimize the segmentation. For 170 example, Kundu et al. (2016) define the dense 3D (2D+Time) conditional random field (CRF) on 171 frame blocks, where a random field will be optimized to assign spatially and temporally consistent 172 labeling to each pixel. Generic neural network architectures in Nilsson (2018), Hu (2018), and 173 Chen (2018b) propose to propagate information from previous several frames to the current frame. 174 However, this approach needs dense labeling data (e.g., pixel-wise labeled videos) to train the 175 network. Such labeled flood video datasets are not available, and manually generating a sufficient 176 dataset is laborious. Therefore, the aim of this study is to design a spatiotemporal smoothness 177 model that can refine semantic segmentation results by using temporal smoothness and prior 178 knowledge. The developed system is anticipated to work without needing a significant volume of 179 labeled flood data.

180

181 **2.2. Applications of Image Segmentation in Geoscience**

Image segmentation is gaining significant attention in geoscience research and practical applications because it can be used for object identification and target description. In particular, automatic image segmentation helps us to reduce tedious work such as manual labeling for processing remote sensing images. Vasuki et al. (2017) developed an interactive image segmentation tool for lithology boundary detection from photographic images of rock surfaces. Chen et al. (2018) designed an optimal path clustering algorithm to segment remote sensing land cover images for scene classification. Jasiewicz et al. (2018) proposed a multi-scale seeded region

189 growing algorithm to segment large-sized land cover images for Earth Observation (EO) data 190 analysis. However, these methods are usually built based on traditional segmentation algorithms, 191 such as region growing (Jasiewicz et al., 2018), region merging (Vasuki et al., 2017), and 192 clustering (Chen et al., 2018). They are not sufficiently robust when handling water data that 193 contains complex heterogeneous texture and illumination contrast. Karimpouli and Tahmasebi 194 (2019) built a deep convolutional autoencoder to segment digital rock images. Deep learning based 195 image segmentation can be helpful in handling the aforementioned challenges. But when 196 processing temporal flood footage data, lighting conditions and water appearance change 197 dramatically over space and time, making segmentation from a single scene extremely challenging 198 (e.g., Fig. 4(a)). Integrating temporal consistency constraint (see discussion in Section 3.3) is 199 critical to improve the robustness of segmentation. Techniques developed in this work are general 200 and applicable to other geoscience applications.

201

202 3. IMAGE PROCESSING METHODOLOGY

3.1 Overview

204 A learning-based semantic segmentation undergoes two stages, i.e., training and segmentation. 205 The training data may include images sampled from video footage, other general images captured 206 by traffic cameras, or found on the Internet. These images can provide different views of the site 207 using different cameras under different illuminations. More diverse training images can require a 208 more complex network and more datasets to train but also provide a more generalizable program 209 to work more stably with various images. In contrast, if the system is used to analyze a certain type 210 of scene, an image corpus with smaller variance can be designed. This method makes the network 211 easier to train but less generalizable to different images or videos. An ever-growing flood labeling database can effectively support the training of deep networks for general flood recognition andsegmentation.

214 The semantic segmentation module discussed herein is based on FCN (Long et al., 2015), 215 where an auto-encoder consisting of an encoder and a decoder was built. The encoder utilizes 216 general image classification networks that extract feature maps from original images. The decoder 217 uses a series of deconvolutional layers to restore a labeled image back to its original size. Then, 218 each pixel is assigned into a specific class. After training the network, new images or videos can 219 be fed to automatically get a pixelwise segmentation (labeling) result. A flood region and common 220 reference objects (staff gage, pillar, guardrail, or traffic pole) can be identified and segmented from 221 the background. However, the raw segmentation results may contain some noise especially in 222 regions with ambiguous colors, which leads to incorrect labeling. The temporal consistency is 223 utilized to refine the segmentation result to get a more stable and accurate segmentation.

From the segmented flood contour and the reference object, the height of the reference object above the water level is estimated using the ratio of pixel heights. The pixel height of an object is defined as the vertical height difference from the highest pixel of the reference object down to the water interface. The submerged ratio is calculated by:

$$x = 1 - \frac{h_t}{h_0} \tag{1}$$

where h_0 is the original pixel height of the reference object before submergence and h_t is the new pixel height at time *t*. The details of converting the pier height from pixel to actual length is further explained in Section 5.2

232

233

235 **3.2 Training Semantic Segmentation**

236 Existing labeled images are used to train an FCN network for flood segmentation. Datasets from 237 ADE20K (Zhou et al., 2016, 2017) containing about 1,888 water/flood images were used as initial 238 training datasets. During training, data augmentation was incorporated to increase the robustness 239 of the model, including random brightness disturbance, random hue color disturbance, random 240 contrast disturbance, and random affine transformation. In practice, this model can handle 241 moderate changing such as aperture and white balance. The collected images were also manually 242 annotated and added as additional system training. Fig. 1 illustrates the architecture of the auto-243 encoder. Initially, an image is fed into the encoder (i.e., the feature extractor). The encoder consists 244 of a series of convolutional layers and outputs a feature vector. The decoder contains several 245 deconvolutional operations, receives the feature vector, and outputs a 3-dimensional volume 246 whose width and height match the original image resolution and the depth *n* equals the number of 247 classification.

Given an image of resolution $U \times V$ and a list *L* of labels to consider, the output of the decoder is a tensor $Y_{U \times V \times /L/.}$ The prediction on a pixel (*u*, *v*), denoted as $y_{u,v}$, is an *L*-dimensional vector and $y_{u,v,l}$ indicates the prediction score or likelihood of pixel (*u*, *v*) being assigned label *l*. During the training phase, the loss function is defined using a *softmax* cross entropy and an L_2 regularization term:

253
$$loss = \sum_{u,v} \left(-\sum_{t=l_o}^{l_n} \hat{y}_{u,v,t} \log \sigma(y_{u,v})_t + k \|\theta\|^2 \right)$$
(2)

254
$$\hat{y}_{u,v,t} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{pixel } u, v \text{ should be labeled as } l \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(3)

255
$$\sigma(y_{u,v})_{t} = \frac{exp(y_{u,v,l})}{\sum_{r \in \{l_{o},...,l_{n}\}} \exp(y_{u,v,r})}$$
(4)

256 where (u, v) is the pixel position, $y_{u,v}$ is the output prediction vector on this pixel, $\{l_0, \ldots, l_n\}$ is 257 the set of considered labels, and θ indicates all the trainable parameters in the network. $y_{u,v,l}$ 258 contains the groundtruth labeling information, whose value is 1 if pixel (u, v) should be assigned 259 label l and 0 otherwise. $\sigma(y_{u,v})_l \in [0, 1]$ is the softmax function which normalizes the raw inference 260 value $y_{u,v,l}$ to a value in [0, 1], and can be considered as the probability of (u, v) being labeled l. 261 This loss function penalizes the inconsistency between the pixelwise prediction and the 262 groundtruth label. The regularization term suppresses large parameters, which usually lead to 263 overfitting. With such a network trained on this dataset, it is used to generate the segmentation on 264 given images. Fig. 2 shows an example of semantic segmentation result on the Buffalo Bayou 265 flood video. The color-encoded labels overlay the original image for better visualization. The blue 266 and yellow regions correspond to detected water and piers, respectively.

267

268 **3.3 Segmentation Refinement using Temporal Smoothness**

269 The aforementioned semantic segmentation only considers a given image itself, without 270 considering its previous and next frames. Noisy signals are often inevitable. In the flood 271 application, rain, wind, and lighting can all contribute to unstable imaging. Furthermore, rain drops 272 can fall on the camera and blur a portion of the video for a period of time. These outliers can 273 severely affect the semantic segmentation on single images. On the other hand, if the input is a 274 video, there is strong correlation between consecutive frames, and temporal consistency across 275 adjacent frames provides us useful constraints to refine the individually segmented objects (either 276 the flood region or the reference object). Intuitively, it is necessary to consider several consecutive 277 frames together and ensure the tracked object contours remain stable. Fig. 3 illustrates this 278 mechanism where temporal smoothness constraint is enforced in each temporal block that consists

several consecutive images. Each image and its preceding *K* frames form its overlapping temporal
block (illustrated in a colorful oval), and this image segmentation will be refined using
segmentations from other images in this block.

Two temporal smoothness constraints were designed to refine an image semantic segmentation using its associated block. The first is the contour trajectory smoothness constraint. Contours segmented in the last *K* frames are used to evaluate the stability of current frame segmentation. For example, if K = 1 for the current image frame I_t , the previous frame I_{t-1} is considered, where the subscripts *t* and *t* – 1 are time indices. The direct image segmentation result of I_t is denoted as S_t . A temporal blending is first conducted to obtain a new segmentation S'_t :

288

$$S'_{t} = (1 - \lambda) * S'_{t-1} + \lambda * S_{t}$$
(5)

Another threshold results in the final smoothed segmentation S'_t :

290 $S'_t = T(S'_t, \alpha, 1)$ (6)

291 where the variable λ is a decay rate that controls the historical segmentation influence (in this 292 study $\lambda = 0.2$), α is a binarization threshold (in this study, $\alpha = 0.5$), T is the binary threshold 293 function that returns 1 for pixels whose intensity is greater than α , and returns 0 otherwise. The λ 294 is the decay rate, which controls the historical segmentation influence. Lower λ indicates a higher 295 weight/impact from the previous results. The benefit of using this propagation model is that the 296 impact of the prior segmentation can disappear smoothly. The setting of hyper-parameter λ is 297 affected by the video frame rate, as well as the flood and scene variation conditions. If the flood 298 changes rapidly or the frame rate is low, λ is larger to decrease the influence of previous frames.

The second constraint is the prior constraint. If there are multiple consecutive outlier frames, or an outlier's contour is highly abnormal, only enforcing trajectory smoothness constraint is insufficient. For example, during the night at the Buffalo Bayou in Fig. 4, the dark environment 302 results in significant difficulty to track the contour of the raising water and hence is continuously 303 unreliable. Therefore, a model is needed that can understand prior knowledge. In particular, a 304 threshold is specified to provide a physical constraint on the rise and fall of the hydrograph based 305 on engineering judgement. In this case, it is assumed the water level change within every minute 306 is usually less than 1 ft (30.5 cm). Converting this value to the video pixel, a detector is used to 307 monitor the estimated height and identify abnormal perturbation. In the Buffalo video, the interval 308 between two consecutive frames is one minute (Fig. 4). Hence, an estimate is made to understand 309 which change is unlikely true and thus treated as an outlier. A Laplacian detector detects sudden 310 changes in water level estimation for each frame. The estimation outliers are refined following the 311 prior constraint. Fig. 4 illustrates an example of this temporal smoothing. Fig. 5 shows the Buffalo 312 hydrographs before and after refinement using temporal smoothness. The superimposed yellow 313 regions are segmented water. The direct image segmentation result in Fig. 4(a) contains multiple 314 incorrectly identified regions (highlighted in red boxes). After applying temporal smoothness, the 315 segmentation in Fig. 4(b) becomes more stable and accurate.

316 The experiments demonstrate that the aforementioned constraints can stably segment water 317 contours from collected videos. Meanwhile, more advanced (also more computationally 318 expensive) temporal smoothness models can be adopted. For example, the CRF in Kundu et al. 319 (2016) is adopted to model this temporal consistency. In each overlapping temporal block (Fig. 3), 320 a CRF is built to balance each pixel classification (image segmentation result) and similarity 321 between pixel pairs (spatial and temporal smoothness of segmentation in a video). CRF was 322 implemented and adopted as the temporal smoothness model and found that its performance is 323 only slightly better than the current model. However, CRF is time-consuming and often takes 324 hundreds of CPU hours to converge. Therefore, the current less complicated spatiotemporal

325 smoothness model is adopted which can already produce desirable results from obtained videos.
326 For example, the GPU memory requirement is 3.3 GB for training, where the computation time is
327 150 seconds per epoch. For segmentation, the GPU memory requirement is 4.8 GB, and the
328 computation time is 15 frames per second. The total training time is about 5 hours. The smoothing
329 step runs quickly (10 seconds).

330

331 4. LABORATORY AND FIELD CALIBRATION

332 The images used for labeling and algorithm development were collected from controlled 333 laboratory and field experiments on the LSU campus, specifically at two streams referred to as 334 Bayou Fountain and Corporation Canal (Fig. 6). Bayou Fountain runs on the west side of campus 335 and is fed by drainage from the campus. It is 2.5 m wide where water levels are typically less than 336 30 cm, and a stream gage allows validation the labeled images. Corporation Canal starts in 337 downtown Baton Rouge and runs across the east side of campus and into Bayou Duplantier. Due 338 to their large drainage areas, both bodies of water are known to rise significantly during intense 339 rain events, making them prime locations to test the new methodology proposed.

340

341 **4.1 Laboratory Control Experiments**

The control laboratory experiments involved a water tank and a meter stick to use as a water level reference gage (Fig. 7), and the electrical tape of 15.24 cm length provided a control for the program to automatically label. Using a Brinno TLC200 Pro time-lapse camera capturing images every 1 second, water was poured into the water tank at varying rates to create a hydrograph with varying slopes to test the robustness of the labeling algorithm. The Brinno camera automatically creates a time-lapse video so the images used for labeling were extracted using the program 348 *video2image.py*. Using the *labelme* program, the water in the first image was traced with a polygon 349 and labeled as water, as was the labeled ruler and staff gage (Fig. 7). The *transfer_label.py* program 350 transfers the first polygons and labels to subsequent images, which reflects the change in water 351 level. Photos were labeled at five second intervals to accurately capture the hydrographs and to 352 train the program to automatically label the remaining frames of the laboratory videos. In Fig. 8, 353 the virtual gage hydrograph (blue circle and green square symbols) refer to the segmentation, 354 which used the labeled images. The predictions were validated by manually measuring the water 355 elevations of non-labeled images (red triangles and purple diamonds). In particular, Fig. 8 shows 356 that the program-estimated water levels from the laboratory control experiments closely matches 357 those that were manually measured (RMSE ~ 0.13 cm). The value of $\Delta E \sim 0.25$ cm gives the largest 358 difference between the virtual gage and validation values for the laboratory control experiments. 359 Thus, the controlled experiments demonstrated that the program was working with precision and 360 accuracy, which permitted testing to expand to controlled field experiments along two streams on 361 the LSU campus.

362

363 4.2 Field Experiments

For the rain event on 18 May 2018 at Bayou Fountain, a waterproof Brinno camera was mounted across the stream gage to capture images every minute for 64 minutes. The Brinno camera in Fig. 9(a) demonstrated limited focus capabilities (maximum resolution 1280x720 pixels), leaves and other debris masked the stream bank from the water, and rain droplets gathered on the water-proof casing which blurred many of the images (Fig. 9b). The images were still used to test the accuracy of the labeling program in a noisy environment, i.e., water level is not easily distinguished due to debris or image quality. However, the Brinno TLC200 Pro was replaced with the Moultrie S-50i 371 game camera (resolution 1920×1080 pixels) to evaluate the labeling accuracy with another camera 372 and overcome the resolution and raindrop issues (Fig. 9(c) compares Moultrie and Brinno 373 cameras). The Moultrie camera captured images from rain events on 11 and 12 June 2018. Images 374 were captured every 30 seconds for 121 minutes on July 11, while the June 12 rain event images 375 were captured at 30 second intervals for 20 minutes. The latter duration was significantly shorter 376 because the intense precipitation caused the stream to overflow and submerge the Moultrie camera. 377 Following Bayou Fountain, another Moultrie S-50i game camera was attached to a bridge timber 378 pile at Corporation Canal to provide additional images for labeling and to verify the algorithm for 379 another site under different environmental conditions. An existing stream gage attached to a bridge 380 pier measures the water level starting at 1.83 m (6 ft) above the bottom of the canal (Fig. 10a).

381 The same procedure to label the laboratory experiments was used for the Brinno camera at 382 Bayou Fountain. The Moultrie camera also followed the labeling process (Fig. 10b), with one less 383 step because this camera directly provides images. Because the rise and fall of water levels during 384 rain events took hours, the images from Bayou Fountain on 18 May were labeled at a 4 minute 385 interval and the images on 11 June were labeled at 5 minute intervals. The images from Bayou 386 Fountain on 12 June 2018 were labeled every minute and images from Corporation Canal were 387 labeled at 2 minute intervals. The intervals of labeled images were selected based on the duration 388 of rain and rise of the flood hydrograph. The May 18 and June 11 Bayou Fountain hydrographs 389 extended for approximately 55 minutes and 120 minutes, respectively, while the June 12 390 Corporation Canal hydrograph was only 20 minutes. The water levels from Bayou Fountain on 391 June 12 were less accurately labeled by the program, as the camera switched to the nighttime 392 infrared setting due to the low-light conditions. This caused a switch from color to black and white 393 images and hence the water and stream bank did not sufficiently contrast to train the program.

394 The manually labeled images were used to train the program to automatically label the 395 remaining frames of the field experiment videos. The results in Fig. 11 show the comparison 396 between the virtual gage and manually evaluated images for validation. The greatest difference 397 between the manually-estimated and virtual gage water elevations was less than 5 cm. This 398 occurred during the May 18 Bayou Fountain event (Fig. 11a) because of rain drops on the Brinno 399 lens clouding the images, debris in the water and on the bank making the water line 400 indistinguishable, and lower resolution of the Brinno camera. Fig. 11(a) also suggests that the 401 switch from the Brinno to Moultrie camera allowed the automatic segmentation program to more 402 accurately detect and estimate the water levels in Bayou Fountain and Corporation Canal. In 403 particular, the water levels only differed by an average of 2 cm and a maximum of 5 centimeters. 404 For example, the RMSE for the Brinno camera during the May 18 experiment was 2 cm, while the 405 RMSE for the Moultrie cameras were 0.9 cm and 1.2 cm at Bayou Fountain and Corporation Canal, 406 respectively. Therefore, the increased image resolution from 720p to 1080p and lack of raindrops 407 collecting on the lens of the camera alleviated the problems encountered on May 18. Following 408 June 11 and 12, the segmentation algorithm was refined using images from the three experiments, 409 which permitted re-evaluation of the images from the May 18 Bayou Fountain event (see green 410 squares in Fig. 11a). With the updated algorithm, the RMSE was reduced from 2 cm to 0.4 cm and 411 the greatest difference between the virtual gage and validation points decreased to 0.9 cm. The 412 results from the laboratory and field experiments verified the accuracy of the segmentation 413 algorithm and labeling program. These techniques were next applied to a case study at Buffalo 414 Bayou in downtown Houston during Hurricane Harvey.

- 415
- 416

417 **5. CASE STUDY OF HOUSTON FLOODING DURING HURRICANE HARVEY**

418 **5.1 Background**

419 Hurricane Harvey made landfall at San Jose Island along the Texas coast on 25 August 2017 as a 420 Category 4 hurricane. Rain gages in Houston recorded over 76.2 cm (30 inches) of rainfall over 421 the region during the week that the cyclone looped over southeastern Texas. This major storm 422 caused catastrophic flooding of the densely-populated regions of Houston and Beaumont (Blake 423 and Zelinsky, 2018). Inland rivers that drain into the Gulf of Mexico, such as the Colorado, 424 Guadalupe, and Brazos Rivers, were overwhelmed by floodwaters, leading to flooded major 425 interstate highways, such as I-10 and I-45 (Blake and Zelinsky, 2018). In particular, Buffalo Bayou 426 flows from Katy, TX through Houston and into the Gulf of Mexico via Galveston Bay (Buffalo 427 Bayou Partnership, 2018). Data obtained from stream gages and high-water marks along Buffalo 428 Bayou reveal the severity of flooding in Houston during Hurricane Harvey. The USGS identified 429 multiple high-water marks from Harvey in Sesquicentennial Park near Buffalo Bayou (USGS 430 Flood Event Viewer, 2018). Fig. 12 shows the locations of the high-water marks (see blue squares). 431 A high-water mark on the northern bank of Buffalo Bayou revealed a water elevation of 10.3 m 432 (33.7 ft) NAVD88, while a debris line on the southern bank marked an elevation of 11.9 m (39.1 433 ft) NAVD88. USGS also reported a peak stage of 10.3 m (33.7 ft) NAVD88 on 1 September 2017 434 at 17:00 (5:00 pm).

The Harris County Flood Control District measures water levels throughout the county using stream gages. In particular, Buffalo Bayou is monitored by seven stream gages spanning from Barker Reservoir to Burnett Bay. During Hurricane Harvey, these stream gages captured high water levels in the channel. Located 4 km west of the camera location, the Shepherd Drive stream gage reported a peak water elevation of 11.8 m (38.8 ft) on 28 August 2017, approximately 12.8

440 m (42 ft) above the bottom of the stream bed. At Milam Street, the rising floodwaters reached an 441 elevation of 8.3 m (27.1 ft) on 27 August 2017, a water level of 11.3 m (33.85 ft) above the bottom 442 of bayou (Harris Country Flood Warning System, 2017). However, the stream gage at Milam 443 Street only collected data until 02:44 (2:44 am) on August 27. This gage failure demonstrates the 444 need for multiple methods to construct and verify flood hydrographs.

445

446 **5.2 Hurricane Harvey Image Analysis**

447 During Hurricane Harvey, a time-lapse camera was placed on the second floor of the Bayou Place 448 Offices building on Capitol Street near Milam Street (see Fig. 12 for general location and Fig. 13b 449 for exact location). The camera overlooked Buffalo Bayou, Memorial Drive overpass, Interstate 450 45 overpass, and the Houston Aquarium. The camera recorded the rise and fall of flood levels in 451 Buffalo Bayou from approximately 16:00 (4:00 pm) on Friday, August 25 to 03:00 (3:00 am) on 452 Wednesday, August 30. Although the Harrison County Flood Control District stream gage at 453 Milam Street does not report water levels after 02:44 (2:00 am) on Sunday, August 27, the camera 454 observed flood waters continuing to rise and overtopping Memorial Drive overpass by 09:30 (9:30 455 am) later that morning.

The video of Buffalo Bayou during Hurricane Harvey was analyzed using semantic segmentation to create a hydrograph. In particular, images were extracted each second to form a near continuous hydrograph. The algorithm used an I-45 bridge pier adjacent to Buffalo Bayou as a reference object and created a hydrograph to show the ratio of the bridge pier submerged by water (see yellow rectangle in Fig. 13a). Buffalo Bayou and the bridge pier were surveyed by T. Baker Smith, LLC to determine the elevations of the bottom of the channel and the bottom and top of the pier using a Leica TS02 Total Station (Fig. 13c). The elevations of the pier at ground surface 463 and at the top were 0.83 m (2.72 ft) NAVD88 and 18.1 m (59.41 ft) NAVD88, respectively. The 464 total length of the bridge pier is 17.28 m (57.5 ft). To calculate the elevation of the water, the 465 submerged ratio was converted to a distance from the bottom of the pier using Eq. (7). The 466 submerged ratio refers to the ratio of pixels water covered pixels to visible pixels in the image 467 segmentation program.

468

469 Water Elevation (m, NAVD88) =
$$0.83 \text{ m} + 17.28 \text{ m} \times (\text{submerged ratio})$$
 (7)

470

471 The reconstructed hydrograph in Fig. 14 was compared to the Milam Street stream gage, 472 which is the closest information available on Buffalo Bayou. In particular, the Milam stream gage 473 shows an initial rise and fall of the hydrograph on August 26, which was visually verified with the 474 Buffalo Bayou video. This first rise is likely related to the first impulse of the floodwaters arriving 475 in Houston. Approaching midnight of August 26, the Milam gage hydrograph begins to rapidly 476 rise until the instrument failed at an elevation of 8 m NAVD88 in the morning of August 27. In 477 comparison, the image segmentation algorithm developed herein shows fluctuations in water level 478 at an elevation 1 to 3 m in the evening of August 25 and early morning of August 26. During the 479 daylight, image segmentation successfully captures the Milam Street hydrograph starting around 480 12:00 on August 26, i.e., the two lines are in close agreement in Fig. 14. When the Milam Street 481 gage fails, the image segmentation provides continuous information on the flood waters. For 482 example, the peak flood level of approximately 14 m occurred on the night of August 27 to 483 morning of August 28. The water level started to rapidly decrease on August 28 to about 9 m 484 before slightly rising to 10.5 m by the early morning of August 29. During August 29, flood levels 485 in Buffalo Bayou decreased to approximately 3 m. After August 30, Buffalo Bayou remained at a

486 constant water level of 3 m, which was verified with other stream gages upstream (Shepherd Drive 487 and San Felipe Drive) that indicated waters remained relatively high for an extended duration into 488 early 2 September 2017. The sharp decline in the segmentation analysis in the early morning of 489 August 27 is because of the difficulty in labeling images at night, especially if limited lighting is 490 available and reflectance of light on water is present. As natural light increased from sunrise, the 491 image segmentation method self-corrected and continued to provide reasonable results during the 492 course of the remaining flood event. Though, it is evident that the water level fluctuations mostly 493 occur at night. Nevertheless, Fig. 14 demonstrates the image segmentation methodology developed 494 and implemented in this study is capable of capturing a flood hydrograph.

495

496 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

497 Measuring and disseminating real-time water levels in urban environments during rain storms, 498 hurricanes, and river floods is paramount to ensuring human safety and assisting in mapping 499 disruptions to the operation of major cities that result in physical infrastructure, social, and 500 economic damages. High water marks, stream gages, and rapidly deployed instrumentation 501 currently provide hydrological data during a flood event. The impetus of this study was to develop 502 technology that can provide accurate and timely water levels while harnessing the Big Data 503 generated from videos and images posted by individuals on social media, YouTube, and permanent 504 infrastructure such as road traffic cameras. This Big Data facilitates creation of a high-fidelity 505 spatial-temporal map of flooding that does not currently exist.

506 The techniques presented in this study involves using reference objects in videos and 507 images to estimate water levels with time. The novelty of this work involved leveraging object-508 based image analysis (OBIA), which used image segmentation training algorithms to differentiate

509 areas of images or videos. In particular, the deep learning-based semantic segmentation technique 510 was trained using images from an MIT database along with images compiled from traffic cameras 511 and the experiments and case study presented herein. The FCN was used for image segmentation 512 and subsequent object labeling. This algorithm was applied to a laboratory and two field 513 experiments before demonstration at Buffalo Bayou in Houston, TX during Hurricane Harvey. 514 The field experiments indicated that the image segmentation technique was reproducible and 515 accurate from a controlled environment to rain storms and localized flooding in small streams on 516 the LSU campus. Moreover, the segmentation algorithm successfully estimated flood levels in 517 Buffalo Bayou in downtown Houston, Texas during Hurricane Harvey. This signifies that if time-518 lapse imagery is available, this algorithm- and program-estimated water elevations can provide 519 insight to the hydrograph and spatial inundation during flooding from rainstorms or hurricanes. 520 Future work with this technique includes the need to resolve image analyses at night, remove 521 effects of rainfall on camera lenses, and developing a system to collect images during extreme 522 events. Moreover, this technique can be harnessed to larger data streams (e.g., Houston traffic 523 cameras and local security cameras) to develop near real-time water levels in urban environments 524 that can allow emergency operation centers to make informed decisions on emergency response 525 and disaster recovery.

To better tackle nighttime images, dark image enhancing and contrast enhancing algorithms can be adopted to preprocess the dark images. The mutual coherence between daytime images and nighttime images will be explored such that the structures extracted from the daytime images can guide the segmentation of nighttime images. The model also learns the appearance of the water based on the training images. In this current compiled training dataset, photos containing water with turbulence, debris, among others were not specifically the objective. Such types of

532 water videos and images represent the next steps in this research investigation. Raindrops often 533 harm the segmentation results, as those regions can be misidentified. However, this effect can be 534 currently diminished by the proposed temporal blending and the prior constraints. Moreover, if 535 wind gusts alter the position of a camera, the water segmentation component is still reliable 536 because the classification of each pixel is based on extracted features and their matching with ones 537 in previous frames. This is not sensitive to camera tilt/shift. The water level estimation can be 538 affected if the reference object can move. A future direction to tackle this issue is to register all 539 the frames to the coordinates of the first initial frame. If the reference object becomes lost, the 540 estimation can proceed using another reference object. Future work will collect more labeled flood 541 datasets and will explore more advanced but inexpensive models to more flexibly incorporate high-542 level temporal information to achieve reliable segmentation in complex and noisy scenes.

543

544 ACKNOWLDGEMENTS

545 This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 546 (#1760582). The authors would like to thank Louisiana Sea Grant Undergraduate Research 547 Opportunities Program (UROP), T. Baker Smith, Inc., and Louisiana Board of Regents Industrial 548 Ties Research Program for supporting this research. The support of Qin Chen provided by 549 Northeastern University Global Resilience Institute is also gratefully acknowledged. We 550 appreciate permission by Mr. Teddy Vandenberg to use his time-lapse video of Buffalo Bayou 551 during Hurricane Harvey. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed 552 in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National 553 Science Foundation, T. Baker Smith, Louisiana Board of Regents, and Louisiana Sea Grant.

554

555 COMPUTER CODE AVAILABILITY

556 There are two sets of codes used in this study. The first code was Pytorch-FCN, which we used as 557 a starting point for developing our codes, and it was develop by Ketaro Wada in 2017. The second 558 source code was developed by the LSU team in 2018. It is called LSU Water Segmentation and 559 the developers are Xin Li, Yongqing Liang, and Can-Yu Le. The contact information for all of 560 these authors is provided at the beginning of the manuscript. The required hardware is a typical 561 computer (Windows, Apple, Linux), where the required software and programming language are 562 Python. Program size is minimal, possibly one (1) MB. The authors developed our segmentation 563 algorithm and program based on the open-source library FCN by Ketaro Wada 564 (https://github.com/wkentaro/pytorch-fcn). The LSU code can be accessed at 565 https://github.com/xmlyging00/LSUWaterSegmentation.

566

567 AUTHORSHIP STATEMENT

568 Professors Navid Jafari, Qin Chen, and Xin Li developed the research idea and paper. Professor 569 Jafari led the writing of the manuscript, with assistant from Professors Chen and Li. Professor Li 570 with graduate students Can-Yu Le and Yongqing Liang developed the algorithm and code and 571 performed the segmentation analyses for the paper. Undergraduate student Logan Betzer 572 conducted the laboratory and field experiments and prepared manuscript figures.

- 573
- 574
- 575
- 576
- 577

578 **REFERENCES**

- 579 Ali Ghorbani, M., Khatibi, R., Aytek, A., Makarynskyy, O., & Shiri, J. (2010). Sea water level 580 forecasting using genetic programming and comparing the performance with Artificial
- 581 Neural Networks. *Computers & Geosciences*, 36(5), 620-627.
 582 doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2009.09.014
- Álvarez, L., L. Baumela, P. Henríquez, and P. Márquez-Neila (2010), Morphological snakes,
 paper presented at Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2010 IEEE
 Conference on, IEEE.
- 586 Arnab, A., and P. H. Torr (2017), Pixelwise instance segmentation with a dynamically
- 587 instantiated network, paper presented at CVPR.
- Bai, M., and R. Urtasun (2017), Deep watershed transform for instance segmentation, paper
 presented at 2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition
 (CVPR), IEEE.
- 591 Bandini, F., J. Jakobsen, D. Olesen, J. A. Reyna-Gutierrez, and P. Bauer-Gottwein (2017),
- 592 Measuring water level in rivers and lakes from lightweight Unmanned Aerial Vehicles,
- *Journal of Hydrology*, *548*, 237-250, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.02.038.
- Barrow, H. G., and J. M. Tenenbaum (1981), Interpreting line drawings as three-dimensional
 surfaces, *Artificial intelligence*, *17*(1-3), 75-116.
- 596 Blake, E. S., and D. A. Zelinsky (2018), NATIONAL HURRICANE CENTER TROPICAL
- 597 CYCLONE REPORT HURRICANE HARVEY*Rep. AL092017*.
- 598 Blaschke, T. (2010), Object based image analysis for remote sensing, ISPRS Journal of
- 599 *Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 65(1), 2-16,
- 600 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2009.06.004.

- 601 Calvo, B., and F. J. N. H. Savi (2009), Real-time flood forecasting of the Tiber River in Rome,
 602 50(3), 461-477, doi:10.1007/s11069-008-9312-9.
- 603 Chen, L.-C., G. Papandreou, I. Kokkinos, K. Murphy, and A. L. Yuille (2018), Deeplab:
- 604 Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully
- connected crfs, *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 40(4),
 834-848.
- 607 Chen, S., Sun, T., Yang, F., Sun, H., & Guan, Y. (2018). An improved optimum-path forest
 608 clustering algorithm for remote sensing image segmentation. *Computers & Geosciences*,
 609 *112*, 38-46. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2017.12.003
- 610 Chen, Y., J. Pont-Tuset, A. Montes, and L. Van Gool (2018), Blazingly Fast Video Object
- 611 Segmentation with Pixel-Wise Metric Learning, paper presented at Proceedings of the
 612 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- 613 Chen, Z., Chen, N., Du, W., & Gong, J. (2018). An active monitoring method for flood events.
 614 *Computers & Geosciences*, *116*. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2018.04.009
- 615 Harris County Flood Control District (2018), Harris County Flood Warning System, edited.
- 616 García, Y. G., M. Shadaydeh, M. Mahecha, and J. J. N. H. Denzler (2018), Extreme anomaly
- 617 event detection in biosphere using linear regression and a spatiotemporal MRF model, 1-618 19.
- Grady, L. (2006), Random walks for image segmentation, *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 28(11), 1768-1783.
- Hariharan, B., P. Arbeláez, R. Girshick, and J. Malik (2014), Simultaneous detection and
- 622 segmentation, paper presented at European Conference on Computer Vision, Springer.

- He, K., G. Gkioxari, P. Dollár, and R. Girshick (2017), Mask r-cnn, paper presented at Computer
 Vision (ICCV), 2017 IEEE International Conference on, IEEE.
- He, K., X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun (2016), Deep residual learning for image recognition, paper
 presented at Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern
 recognition.
- Horita, F. E. A., Albuquerque, J. P. D., Degrossi, L. C., Mendiondo, E. M., & Ueyama, J. (2015).
- 629 Development of a spatial decision support system for flood risk management in Brazil
- 630 that combines volunteered geographic information with wireless sensor networks. 80, 84-
- 631 94. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2015.04.001
- Hu, P., G. Wang, X. Kong, J. Kuen, and Y.-P. Tan (2018), Motion-Guided Cascaded Refinement
- 633 Network for Video Object Segmentation, paper presented at Proceedings of the IEEE634 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- 635 Jasiewicz, J., Stepinski, T., & Niesterowicz, J. (2018). Multi-scale segmentation algorithm for
- 636 pattern-based partitioning of large categorical rasters. *Computers & Geosciences*, 118,
- 637 122-130. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2018.06.003
- Karimpouli, S., & Tahmasebi, P. (2019). Segmentation of digital rock images using deep
 convolutional autoencoder networks. *Computers & Geosciences, 126*, 142-150.
 doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2019.02.003
- Kass, M., A. Witkin, and D. Terzopoulos (1988), Snakes: Active contour models, *International journal of computer vision*, *1*(4), 321-331.
- 643 Kisi, O., Shiri, J., & Nikoofar, B. (2012). Forecasting daily lake levels using artificial
- 644 intelligence approaches. *Computers & Geosciences, 41*, 169-180.
- 645 doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2011.08.027

646	Krizhevsky, A., I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton (2012), Imagenet classification with deep
647	convolutional neural networks, paper presented at Advances in neural information
648	processing systems.

649 Kulkarni, A. T., Mohanty, J., Eldho, T. I., Rao, E. P., & Mohan, B. K. (2014). A web GIS based

650 integrated flood assessment modeling tool for coastal urban watersheds. 64, 7-14.

- 651 doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2013.11.002
- Kundu, A., V. Vineet, and V. Koltun (2016), Feature space optimization for semantic video
 segmentation, paper presented at Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer
 Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- Lee, C., and H. J. N. H. Yang (2018), A system to detect potential fires using a thermographic
 camera, 92(1), 511-523.
- Li, C., Shen, L., Wang, H.-B., & Lei, T. (2010). *The research on unmanned aerial vehicle remote sensing and its applications.*
- Lin, G., C. Shen, A. Van Den Hengel, and I. Reid (2016), Efficient piecewise training of deep
- structured models for semantic segmentation, paper presented at Proceedings of the IEEE
- 661 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- Liu, S., J. Jia, S. Fidler, and R. Urtasun (2017), Sgn: Sequential grouping networks for instance
 segmentation, paper presented at The IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision
 (ICCV).
- Long, J., E. Shelhamer, and T. Darrell (2015), Fully convolutional networks for semantic
- segmentation, paper presented at Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer visionand pattern recognition.

668	Lu, C., J. Zhou, Z. He, and S. J. N. H. Yuan (2018), Evaluating typical flood risks in Yangtze					
669	River Economic Belt: application of a flood risk mapping framework, 94(3), 1187-1210.					
670	Maheswaran, R., & Khosa, R. (2013). Long term forecasting of groundwater levels with evidence					
671	of non-stationary and nonlinear characteristics. 52, 422-436.					
672	doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2012.09.030					
673	Nilsson, D., and C. Sminchisescu (2016), Semantic video segmentation by gated recurrent flow					
674	propagation, arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.08871, 2.					
675	Rani, M., S. Rehman, H. Sajjad, B. Chaudhary, J. Sharma, S. Bhardwaj, and P. J. N. H. Kumar					
676	(2018), Assessing coastal landscape vulnerability using geospatial techniques along					
677	Vizianagaram–Srikakulam coast of Andhra Pradesh, India, 94(2), 711-725.					
678	Roerdink, J. B., and A. Meijster (2000), The watershed transform: Definitions, algorithms and					
679	parallelization strategies, Fundamenta informaticae, 41(1, 2), 187-228.					
680	Shelhamer, E., K. Rakelly, J. Hoffman, and T. Darrell (2016), Clockwork convnets for video					
681	semantic segmentation, paper presented at European Conference on Computer Vision,					
682	Springer.					
683	Simonyan, K., and A. Zisserman (2014), Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale					
684	image recognition, arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.					
685	United States Geological Survey (2018), USGS Flood Event Viewer, edited.					
686	t3dwork (2017), Buffalo Bayou Timelapse During Harvey, edited.					
687	Van der Sande, C. J., S. M. de Jong, and A. P. J. de Roo (2003), A segmentation and					
688	classification approach of IKONOS-2 imagery for land cover mapping to assist flood risk					
689	and flood damage assessment, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and					
690	Geoinformation, 4(3), 217-229, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0303-2434(03)00003-5.					

691	Vasuki, Y., Holden, EJ., Kovesi, P., & Micklethwaite, S. (2017). An interactive image
692	segmentation method for lithological boundary detection: A rapid mapping tool for
693	geologists. Computers & Geosciences, 100, 27-40. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2016.12.001

- Wang, R.-Q., Mao, H., Wang, Y., Rae, C., & Shaw, W. (2018). Hyper-resolution monitoring of
- 695 urban flooding with social media and crowdsourcing data. *Computers & Geosciences, 111*,
- 696 139-147. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2017.11.008
- 697 Watson, K. M., Harwell, G. R., Wallace, D. S., Welborn, T. L., Stengel, V. G., & McDowell, J. S.
- 698 (2018). Characterization of peak streamflows and flood inundation of selected areas in
- 699 southeastern Texas and southwestern Louisiana from the August and September 2017 flood
- 700 *resulting from Hurricane Harvey* (2328-0328)
- Xiao, Y., B. Li, and Z. J. N. H. Gong (2018), Real-time identification of urban rainstorm
 waterlogging disasters based on Weibo big data, *94*(2), 833-842.
- Xing, Y., Q. Liang, G. Wang, X. Ming, and X. J. N. H. Xia (2018), City-scale hydrodynamic
 modelling of urban flash floods: the issues of scale and resolution, 1-24.
- 705 Zheng, S., S. Jayasumana, B. Romera-Paredes, V. Vineet, Z. Su, D. Du, C. Huang, and P. H. S.
- Torr (2015), Conditional Random Fields as Recurrent Neural Networks, *arXiv e-prints*.
- 707 Zhou, B., H. Zhao, X. Puig, S. Fidler, A. Barriuso, and A. Torralba (2016), Semantic
- understanding of scenes through the ADE20K dataset, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.05442*.
- 709 Zhou, B., H. Zhao, X. Puig, S. Fidler, A. Barriuso, and A. Torralba (2017), Scene parsing
- 710 through ade20k dataset, paper presented at Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on
- 711 Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, IEEE.
- 712 Zhu, X., Y. Xiong, J. Dai, L. Yuan, and Y. Wei (2017), Deep feature flow for video recognition,
- 713 paper presented at CVPR.

714	LIST OF FIGURE CAPTIONS				
715 716	Fig. 1. Semantic segmentation architecture based on the Fully Convolutional Network (FCN).				
717 718 719	 Fig. 2. The semantic segmentation result on 16:55 August 25, 2017. The blue mask indicates wat and the yellow mask indicates pier (image permission from Teddy Vandenberg). 				
720 721 722 723 724	 Fig. 3. The structure of temporal smoothness consists of temporal blocks of several consecutive images. Each image and its preceding frames form its overlapping temporal block (illustrated in the colorful ovals). Image segmentation is refined using segmentations from other images in this block. 				
725 726 727	Fig. 4. Segmentation refinement using temporal smoothness: (a) Raw segmentation result, (b) Temporal constraint (image permission from Teddy Vandenberg).				
728 729	Fig. 5. Comparison between the original raw and refined water level estimations.				
730 731 732	Fig. 6. Map of LSU campus where marked rectangles are the field experiment sites Bayou Fountain and Corporation Canal. Circles mark the camera locations.				
733 734	Fig. 7. Labeled image from Brinno camera showing blue water to contrast yellow meter stick.				
735 736	Fig. 8. Laboratory hydrographs developed from virtual gage.				
737 738 739	Fig. 9. Field experiment at Bayou Fountain: (a) Visual of staff gage using Brinno TLC200 Pro camera, (b) Low quality image from Brinno camera due to raindrops, and (c) Visual of staff gage using Moultrie S-50i Game camera.				
740 741 742	Fig. 10 Field experiment at Canal Corporation using Moultrie Camera: (a) Staff gage, and (b) Labeled image during June 12 event.				
743 744 745 746	Fig. 11 Hydrographs created from estimated water levels: (a) May 18 at Bayou Fountain with Brinno camera and June 11 at Bayou Fountain with Moultrie camera, (b) June 12 at Corporation Canal with Moultrie camera.				
747 748 749	Fig. 12 Overlay of downtown Houston and Buffalo Bayou with locations of (a) high water marks and (b) stream gages and camera location (image from Google Earth).				
750 751 752 753 754	Fig. 13 Hurricane Harvey Case Study in Buffalo Bayou, Houston: (a) Camera location on Bayou Place Offices building, (b) Image of flooding Memorial Drive overpass from camera (image permission from Teddy Vandenberg), and (c) Survey of bridge pier and Buffalo Bayou by T. Baker Smith, LLC.				
755	Fig. 14. Comparison of reconstructed hydrograph and Milam Street Stream Gage.				



756 encoder
757
758 Figure 1. Semantic segmentation architecture based on the Fully Convolutional Network (FCN).
759

761	Aug25 16:55	
762 763 764 765	Figure 2.	The semantic segmentation result on 16:55 August 25, 2017. The blue mask indicates water and the yellow mask indicates pier (image permission from Teddy Vandenberg).
766		
767 768		
769		
770		
771 772 773 774 775 776	Figure 3.	The structure of temporal smoothness consists of temporal blocks of several consecutive images. Each image and its preceding frames form its overlapping temporal block (illustrated in the colorful ovals). Image segmentation is refined using segmentations from other images in this block.





Figure 4. Segmentation refinement using temporal smoothness: (a) Raw segmentation result, (b) Temporal constraint (image permission from Teddy Vandenberg).







801 802 803 Figure 7. Labeled image from Brinno camera showing blue water to contrast yellow meter stick.







- (c) Field experiment at Bayou Fountain: (a) Visual of staff gage using Brinno TLC200 Figure 9. Pro camera, (b) Low quality image from Brinno camera due to raindrops, and (c) Visual of staff gage using Moultrie S-50i Game camera.



Figure 10. Field experiment at Canal Corporation using Moultrie Camera: (a) Staff gage, and (b) Labeled image during June 12 event.



Figure 11. Hydrographs created from estimated water levels: (a) May 18 at Bayou Fountain with Brinno camera and June 11 at Bayou Fountain with Moultrie camera, (b) June 12 at Corporation Canal with Moultrie camera.





Figure 13. Hurricane Harvey Case Study in Buffalo Bayou, Houston: (a) Image of flooding
Memorial Drive overpass from camera (image permission from Teddy
Vandenberg), (b) Camera location on Bayou Place Offices building, and (c) Survey
of bridge pier and Buffalo Bayou by T. Baker Smith, LLC.

