LSU EE 4755

For instructions visit https://www.ece.lsu.edu/koppel/v/proc.html. For the complete Verilog for this assignment without visiting the lab follow https://www.ece.lsu.edu/koppel/v/2024/hw02.v.html.

Collaboration Rules

Each student is expected to complete his or her own assignment. It is okay to work with other students and to ask questions in order to get ideas on how to solve the problems or how to overcome some obstacle (be it a question of Verilog syntax, interpreting error messages, how a part of the problem might be solved, etc.) It is also acceptable to seek out digital design resources for help on Verilog, digital design, etc. It is okay to make use of AI LLM tools such as ChatGPT and Copilot to generate sample Verilog code. (Do not assume LLM output is correct. Treat LLM output the same way one might treat legal advice given by a lawyer character in a movie: it may sound impressive, but it can range from sage advice to utter nonsense.)

After availing oneself to these resources each student is expected to be able to complete the assignment alone. Test questions will be based on homework questions and the assumed time needed to complete the question will be for a student who had solved the homework assignment on which it was based.

Problem 0: Following instructions at https://www.ece.lsu.edu/koppel/v/proc.html, set up your class account (if necessary), copy the assignment, and run the Verilog simulator on the unmodified homework file, hw02.v. Do this early enough so that minor problems (*e.g.*, password doesn't work) are minor problems.

Homework Overview

In this assignment modules will be completed that compute $a2^s + b > c$ where inputs a and b are real and inputs s and c are non-negative integers. Each module has an output gt, which should be set to 1 if the comparison is true and 0 otherwise. There is also an output ssum which should be set to $a2^s + b$. What makes this interesting is that the sizes of all inputs are parameters, and that in the instantiations tested the number of bits in the significands of a and b can be less than the number of bits in c.

The floating point calculations and conversion(s) are to be done using Chipware modules. Solving this assignment requires a straightforward application of Verilog techniques for instantiating modules and wiring them together. It also requires an understanding of when and how to convert numbers from floating-point to integer representations.

As of this writing two modules are to be completed, comp_fp and comp_int. In comp_fp the greater-than comparison is to be done in floating point (using a Chipware module) and in comp_int it is to be done using an integer comparison (using the > operator).

Testbench

To compile your code and run the testbench press [F9] in an Emacs buffer in a properly set up account. The testbench will apply inputs to several instantiation of modules comp_fp and comp_int. The instantiations differ on the number of bits used for the integer inputs and the format of the floating-point output. The instantiation parameters are shown at the end of the testbench along with a summary of the errors for that module. The end of the testbench output for an unmodified assignment appears below:

```
Total comp_int exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s=0: Errors: 50000 ss, 31394 gt.
Total comp_int exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s>0: Errors: 50000 ss, 28962 gt.
Total comp_int exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s=0: Errors: 50000 ss, 33366 gt.
```

Total comp_int exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s>0: Errors: 50000 ss, 31052 gt. Total comp_int exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s=0: Errors: 50000 ss, 35958 gt. Total comp_int exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s>0: Errors: 50000 ss, 33117 gt. Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s=0: Errors: 50000 ss, 31310 gt. Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s>0: Errors: 50000 ss, 29113 gt. Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s=0: Errors: 50000 ss, 33478 gt. Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s>0: Errors: 50000 ss, 30957 gt. Total comp_fp exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s=0: Errors: 50000 ss, 35987 gt. Total comp_fp exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s>0: Errors: 50000 ss, 33073 gt. Total comp_fp exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s>0: Errors: 50000 ss, 33073 gt. TOOL: xrun(64) 24.03-s005: Exiting on Sep 29, 2024 at 14:00:48 CDT (total: 00:00:02)

Compilation finished at Sun Sep 29 14:00:48, duration 2.14 s

Each line starting with Total shows a tally of results. After Total the line shows the module name, either comp_int or comp_fp, and three parameter values. The label exp shows the value of parameter w_exp, which is the size of the exponent of the FP numbers; label sig shows the value of parameter w_sig, which the size of the significand of inputs a and b, and wc shows the value of parameter w_c, the number of bits in input c. The lines with label s=0 show the results of tests in which module input s is set to zero, the lines with label s>0 show the results of tests in which module input s can be non-zero. Tallies of errors are shown after Errors:, first of the ssum output (scaled sum), and then for the gt output. In the unmodified assignment the ssum is unconnected, and so its output is always wrong. Output gt is set to 1, which is mostly but not always wrong.

Further up, the testbench shows some examples of incorrect output:

```
Starting comp_int tests iwth exp=7, sig=6, wc=6
Error in #(7,6,6) a=-17.50, s=0, b=0.04, c=3. ss 0.0000e+00 != -1.7464e+01 (correct)
Error in #(7,6,6) a=-17.50, s=0, b=0.04, c=3. gt 1 != 0 (correct) -20.4644
Error in #(7,6,6) a=-0.80, s=0, b=18.25, c=12. ss 0.0000e+00 != 1.7445e+01 (correct)
Error in #(7,6,6) a=12.62, s=0, b=13.62, c=0. ss 0.0000e+00 != 2.6250e+01 (correct)
Error in #(7,6,6) a=-3.62, s=0, b=3.72, c=0. ss 0.0000e+00 != 9.3750e-02 (correct)
```

In the sample above the first Error line indicates that the module output was 0.0000e+00 (that's what a z would look like) but $-1.7464 \times 10^1 = -17.464 = -1.7454e+01$ was expected. The second Error line indicates that the gt output was 1 but should have been 0. The -20.4544 is the correct difference between c = 3 and -17.5 + 0.04 = -17.46, indicating that it was not even close. Note that the number of digits past the decimal point is limited and so the full number is not shown. About the first five errors of each type will be shown.

Whether or not there are errors, at least one pair of lines is printed for each test. That output is preceded by the word Sample if the output is correct. Appearing below is the beginning and end of the output for correct modules:

```
Starting comp_int tests iwth exp=7, sig=6, wc=6
Sample in #(7,6,6) a=-17.50, s=0, b=0.04, c=3. ss -1.7500e+01 == -1.7464e+01 (cor-
rect)
Sample in #(7,6,6) a=-17.50, s=0, b=0.04, c=3. gt 0 == 0 (correct) -20.4644
Finished comp_int tests exp=7, sig=6, wc=6, s=0. Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt
Finished comp_int tests exp=7, sig=6, wc=6, s>0. Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt
```

```
[snip]
```

Total comp_int exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.

```
Total comp_int exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s>0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_int exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_int exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s>0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_int exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_int exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s>0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=6, wc= 6, s>0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_fp exp=7, sig=7, wc=10, s>0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_fp exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
Total comp_fp exp=8, sig=5, wc=12, s=0: Errors: 0 ss, 0 gt.
```

To add or change instantiation parameters search for the place where variable **pset** is assigned and edit the initialization of **pset** (and change **npsets** if needed):

The testbench will report on the correctness and accuracy of the output.

References and Helpful Examples

For this assignment Chipware modules are to be instantiated to perform floating-point computation and floating-point/integer conversion. A link to the Genus ChipWare IP Components Guide can be found on the course references page. The IEEE 754 floating point standard is described in the types lecture code, be sure to scroll down to reach it.

See 2023 Homework 2 for examples of how to instantiate these modules to perform a computation and for integer/floating-point conversion. A copy of the solution to the 2023 assignment is included in the 2024 assignment directory. As in this (2024) assignment the floating-point formats in the 2023 assignment vary and so parameters must be used when instantiating the Chipware modules to specify the exponent and significand length. In 2021 Homework 2 Chipware modules were instantiated with non-default exponent and significand lengths. Also see 2022 Homework 5. That assignment uses both combinational and sequential modules. (Sequential material has not yet been covered.) See ms_comb in 2022 Homework 5 for a straightforward connection of FP modules (but without format conversion). **Problem 1:** Module comp_fp has two floating-point (FP) inputs, a and b, two integer inputs s and c, one-bit output gt, and a FP output ssum; it also has integer parameters w_c, w_s, w_exp, w_sig and w_sig2. (The remaining parameters, w_fp and w_fp2, are set to the full size of the two FP formats used, don't change them.) Inputs a and b carry values in a custom IEEE 754 FP format with a w_exp-bit exponent and a w_sig-bit significand. The total size of each of these inputs is 1+w_exp+w_sig bits. (The custom format is recognized by the Chipware modules.) The value on input c is a w_c-bit unsigned integer. The value on output ssum is expected to be a IEEE-format FP number with a w_exp-bit exponent and w_sig2-bit significand.

The output ssum (scaled sum) is to be set to $a2^s + b$ and output gt is to be set to 1 if $a2^s + b > c$ and 0 otherwise.

For this problem one should review the IEEE 754 notes, plus the use of the Verilog concatenation (like {2'b11,a,4'd0}), shift (a<<2), and bit slice (a[6:1]) operators.

(a) Modify comp_fp so that it computes $a2^s$ without using Chipware modules. The value does not have to be assigned to any particular object, but it should be used to compute $a2^s + b$. To solve this subproblem one must understand the IEEE 754 format. A correct solution requires just a line or two of Verilog code. (Just one line if overflow is ignored, which is okay.)

Compute $a2^s$ without Chipware modules.

(b) Modify comp_fp so that ssum is set to $a2^s + b$. (For partial credit, or to get started quickly set ssum to a + b. If this is done correctly the testbench s=0 tests should show zero ss errors.) Compute the sum using Chipware modules and the value of $a2^s$ from the previous part.

Note that a and b have a w_sig -bit significand, but the sum should have a w_sig2 -bit significand. So, the significands of $a2^s$ and b must be lengthened (assume that $w_sig2 > w_sig$). See the description of the IEEE 754 format. Please don't look for a module to do this for you.

Convert $a2^s$ and b into FP types with a w_sig2-bit significand.

Using the value from the previous part, set ssum to $a2^s + b$.

(c) Modify comp_fp so that gt is correctly set using a floating-point comparison. Don't forget that input c carries an unsigned integer so that to do a FP comparison c will need to be converted.

Set gt.

Use Chipware modules for floating-point computation and floating-point/integer conversion.

Use procedural or implicit structural (assign) code for any integer computation.

Pay attention to cost: don't use more bits than are needed.

The modules must be synthesizable.

Problem 2: Module comp_int has the same connections as comp_fp and its outputs should be set to the same values.

(a) Modify comp_int so that it computes ssum using an instantiation of comp_fp. The ssum output of the comp_fp instance should connect to the ssum output of comp_int. Don't use the gt output of comp_fp so that the synthesis program doesn't synthesize comp_fp hardware for gt.

Compute ssum using an instance of comp_fp i with the gt output unconnected.

(b) Modify comp_int so that gt is correctly set using an integer comparison. That is, instead of converting c, convert ssum. For maximum credit convert ssum into an integer of as few bits as possible. Don't forget that c is unsigned but ssum is signed.

Compute gt using an integer comparison.

Try to use as few bits as possible.

Problem 3: Predict which version will be less expensive, comp_fp or comp_int. Then run the synthesis program to see which cost less.

Use the command genus -files syn.tcl to run synthesis. Be sure to correct any errors that prevent synthesis.

Predict which will be less costly.

Run synthesis to find out which really is.