LSU EE 7722

Problem 0: Read the following information about the assignment package, and follow instructions on course procedures page, http://www.ece.lsu.edu/gp//proc.html, for account setup and Programming Homework Workflow. Try compiling and running the code and familiarize yourself with the command line arguments described below.

The homework package is set to compile for an NVIDIA GPU of compute capability 3.5 (the expensive Kepler). It is recommended that you run your code on such devices (including the machines in the lab). An easy way to determine the CC of the GPU in a lab machine is to consult the computer status Web page, http://www.ece.lsu.edu/koppel/gpup/sys-status.html. If you must run on a less capable machine edit the makefile, changing sm_35 to the CC of your machine.

Each run of the code launches all of the kernels. A kernel may be launched once, or if the second argument is 0 (see below) launched multiple times with different block sizes. The program output starts with data about the GPUs that it will use:

```
Using GPU 0

GPU 0: Tesla K20c @ 0.71 GHz WITH 5119 MiB GLOBAL MEM

GPU 0: L2: 1310720 kiB MEM<->L2: 208.0 GB/s

GPU 0: CC: 3.5 MP: 13 CC/MP: 192 DP/MP: 64 TH/BL: 1024

GPU 0: SHARED: 49152 B CONST: 65536 B # REGS: 65536

GPU 0: PEAK: 1761 SP GFLOPS 587 DP GFLOPS COMP/COMM: 33.9 SP 22.6 DP

Using GPU 0
```

The execution rates shown above (GFLOPS) count a multiply-add as one operation. The COMP/COMM line gives the computation to communication ratio in floating-point operations per floating-point element transfers. The assignment code uses SP by default. Please don't try using DP in this assignment. The information above was collected in part using the runtime library's cudaGetDeviceProperties function.

The program will next print information about each kernel:

```
CUDA Kernel Resource Usage:
For lane_aligned:
0 shared, 64 const, 0 loc, 10 regs; 1024 max threads per block.
For scheduler_bm:
```

0 shared, 64 const, 0 loc, 7 regs; 1024 max threads per block.

Next the program prints the array size and launch configuration:

Launching with 13 blocks of up to 1024 threads for 1048576 elements.

If the second argument was non-zero then each kernel is run once. The number of warps used to launch it is shown, along with execution time, and communication rate. The communication rate is based on an ideal amount of off-chip data transfer.

K lane_aligned	2 wp	688.288 $\mu { m s}$	12.188 GB/s
K scheduler_bm	2 wp	780.672 $\mu { m s}$	10.745 GB/s

If the second argument is zero then each kernel is run multiple times and an ASCII-art bar graph is printed. The output below just shows two kernels:

Kernel lane_aligned:

4 wp	0 acwp	352 $\mu { m s}$	24 GB/s ****	
8 wp	0 acwp	184 $\mu \mathrm{s}$	46 GB/s *****	*****

12 wp	О асwр	131 $\mu { m s}$	64	GB/s	*****
16 wp	О асwp	104 $\mu { m s}$	80	GB/s	*****
20 wp	0 acwp	90 $\mu { m s}$	94	GB/s	******
24 wp	0 acwp	85 $\mu { m s}$	98	GB/s	******
28 wp	0 acwp	74 $\mu { m s}$	114	GB/s	*********
32 wp	0 acwp	72 $\mu { m s}$	116	GB/s	*********
Kernel	scheduler	_bm:			
4 wp	0 acwp	398 $\mu \mathrm{s}$	21	GB/s	****
8 wp	0 acwp	209 $\mu { m s}$	40	GB/s	*****
12 wp	0 acwp	147 $\mu { m s}$	57	GB/s	*****
16 wp	0 acwp	114 $\mu { m s}$	73	GB/s	*****
20 wp	0 acwp	97 $\mu { m s}$	87	GB/s	*****
24 wp	0 acwp	89 $\mu { m s}$	94	GB/s	*****
28 wp	0 acwp	80 $\mu { m s}$	104	GB/s	******
32 wp	0 acwp	75 $\mu { m s}$	112	GB/s	********

The code takes three command-line arguments. The first indicates how many blocks to launch. If the argument is zero then the number of blocks will be set to the number of multiprocessors (which is the default). If the argument is negative then the number of blocks will be aM, where a is the argument value and M is the number of MPs.

The second argument is the number of threads per block to try to use to launch each kernel. If the argument is omitted 1024 threads are tried. If the argument is omitted or positive, the actual number of threads used in a launch is the minimum of this argument and the kernel's maximum. (For example, if the second argument is 512, but kernel foo has a limit of 256 threads, foo will be launched with 256 threads.) If the second argument is zero then each kernel will be launched multiple times starting with 4 warps, incrementing by 4 warps until the kernel maximum is reached.

The third argument indicates the number of input and output vectors in mibi-elements. If a_3 is the value of the third argument, the number of array elements will be $a_3 2^{20}$. The third argument is read as a floating-point number, so "0.5" will result in a 2^{19} vectors.

Here are some examples.

Running without arguments: hw01. This will use M blocks, where M is the number of multiprocessors, with up to 1024 threads per block. One could get the same result by running using $hw01 \ 0 \ 1024$ or $hw01 \ M \ 1024$ where M is replaced by whatever the number of multiprocessors is.

Run with 256 threads per block: hw01 0 256. Run with 256 threads per block and 10 blocks: hw01 10 256. Run each kernel multiple times: hw01 0 0.

Problem 1: Kernel lane_aligned reads an element from input array d_app.d_in, adds something to it, and writes the sum back to d_app.d_out. That something is $\frac{L}{10000}$, where L is the lane number of the thread. (The lane number of a thread is the threadIdx.x modulo 32, or equivalently, the last five bits of the binary representation of threadIdx.x.)

The code launching lane_aligned checks to make sure that the correct lane number is used. Furthermore, it expects that regardless of the block size, elements are written only by threads in a fully populated warp. A fully populated warp has 32 active threads. That means, for example, for a block size of 48, threads with a threadIdx.x value from 0 to 31 are in a fully populated warp, but threads 32 to 47 are in a partially populated warp and so should not execute. If they do execute an incorrect-result error will be reported.

One can see the error by running with the command run 0 48.

(a) Modify kernel lane_aligned so that only threads on fully populated warps execute while still continuing to operate on all elements of the array. A simple way of ending a thread's execution is

with a return statement. One can also use an if statement to guard the loop.

(b) Determine if there is any penalty in launching with partially populated warps in the solution to the previous problem. That is, is a launch with a block size of 260 run slower than a launch with a block size of 256? Show data from runs to justify your answer.

Problem 2: The first column of the table produced when running with a zero as the second argument, say hw01 0 0, shows the number of warps in a block. The intent of the table is to show how the number of threads (or warps) impacts performance. But, the number of warps in a block is not the full picture. A MP (or an em pee) can have multiple *active* blocks, so the table can be misleading, for example, when there are 8 4-warp blocks per MP.

(a) Modify routine main so that the table shows the number of active warps per MP in the second column. (That column currently shows zeros.) To do this one must consider how many blocks are available per MP, M/G, where M is the number of MP's and G is the number of blocks (the grid size), as well as how many blocks will fit on an MP. For convenience the maximum number of blocks per MP has been written to variable max_bl_per_mp.

(b) Using the modified code determine the minimum number of warps needed to achieve close to maximum performance on kernel lane_aligned, and determine whether it helps or hurts to have more than one active block per multiprocessor. (Consider only block sizes that are a multiple of 32 threads.)

Problem 3: Kernel scheduler_bm includes an iterative calculation over a sine operation. In particular, it uses an intrinsic for NVIDIA's approximate sine machine instruction. (Actually a pair of instructions.)

According to the NVIDIA C Programming Guide (v 7.5, Section 5.4) a CC 3.X device can issue 32 sine operations per cycle per MP, which works out to 8 per scheduler.

A warp with 32 active threads should take 4 cycles to issue a sine instruction. In this problem determine whether a warp with fewer active threads takes less time. For example, would a warp with only eight active threads take just one cycle to issue? If so, does it matter which lanes those active threads are in?

(a) First things first. Running with hw01 0 0 one might notice that both kernels take the same amount of time despite the fact that lane_aligned just does one add, while scheduler_bm does two sine operations. Even if the approximate since operation takes the same amount of time as a FP add, the results still don't make sense because two sine operations are being performed. Explain why the execution times are similar and modify the code so that the impact of the sine operation is exposed.

Try to work things out with the following assumptions. Global memory access latency is 200 cycles. FP add latency (and for that mater, multiply and multiply/add) is 11 cycles, and the sine operation is 40 cycles. Also assume that the GPU can transfer at most 300 bytes per cycle on and off chip. (That's about 200 GB/s at .71 GHz.)

Two factors are hiding the impact of the sine operation: latency and memory traffic.

Consider the first i iteration of thread 0. Since it's at the front of the line for everything, the time it takes to finish that first iteration is based only on latency. However, over that time all other threads in active warps have made requests to load stuff from memory. Thread 0 can't really start its second iteration until all of that loaded data arrives. It's worse when thread 0 starts its third iteration, because it needs to wait for the memory system to finish with loaded and stored data over the previous iteration.

Show how the impact of data bandwidth can be removed (a convenient knob has been provided) and show how the impact of sine issue rate can be exposed.

(b) Using the version of the code with the impact of the sine issue rate exposed, determine if warps issuing a sine instruction take fewer than 4 cycles to issue if they have fewer than 32 active threads.

A mask value can be used to select which threads should be inactive (or active). For example, if threadIdx.x & mask is non-zero one might choose to make the thread inactive. By inactive, we only mean that it does not perform the sine operations. It is important to do this in such a way that an inactive thread reads and writes memory, and does so at the same time as other threads.

The mask can be used, say, to make odd threads inactive, (1), the second half of each warp inactive, (10_{16}) , or even alternating warps inactive (20_{16}) .