**Problem 1:** Consider the following method of implementing precise exceptions in DLX. An *Exception Handler Address* (EHA) register holds the address of the exception handler and an *Exception Return Address* (ERA) register holds the address of the faulting instruction. A new instruction (not in book) `set.eha(rs1)` places the contents of register `rs1` in EHA. After an exception occurs the address of the faulting instruction should be put in ERA and control should jump to the address stored in EHA. When an rfe (return from exception) instruction is executed control should jump back to the address stored in ERA.

Each stage has a squash signal that effectively replaces any instruction present with a *nop*. (See the illustration below.) Each stage also has an EXC signal which, in the middle of the cycle, is true if an exception is discovered in that stage. EXC will not be asserted if the stage contains an already squashed instruction. Registers EHA and ERA will be written with data at their in inputs if en is asserted using the same master /slave timing as the other registers and latches.

The diagram below shows a DLX implementation with the new squash signals (IF.SQ, etc.), exception signals (in every stage except WB), and the two new registers. The hardware shown can implement `set.eha` but does not implement exceptions or rfe. Add the hardware needed to do these. In particular:

- After an exception occurs control should jump to the address in EHA.
- Exceptions must be precise and handled in program order.
- rfe must return control to the faulting instruction.
- If the multiplexor in IF needs additional inputs, use the Taken signal to create the new multiplexor control signal. Taken is asserted only when the ID-stage adder produces the target address.
- Do not implement instructions that transfer ERA to and from an integer register.
- Assume that exception handlers will never encounter exceptions. (They do in real life, so the handler would need a way to save registers before any exceptions occur.)
- Do not test or set processor status bits for privileged state.
Based on your design, show a pipeline execution diagram for the code below in which the \texttt{lw} instruction raises a page fault exception in MEM and \texttt{ant} raises an illegal instruction exception in ID. Show the execution through the first two lines of the handler. Also show execution of the return from the handler and the second call of the handler for the \texttt{ant} instruction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lhi</td>
<td>r20, hi(HANDLER)</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>r20, r20, lo(HANDLER)</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>set.eha</td>
<td>r20</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add</td>
<td>r1, r2, r3</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lw</td>
<td>r4, 0(r5)</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant</td>
<td>r6, r7, r8</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td><em>ID</em></td>
<td>EXx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sub</td>
<td>r9, r10, r11</td>
<td>IFx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
<td>r12, r13, r15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>r15, r16, r17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HANDLER:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw</td>
<td>1000(r0), r1</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw</td>
<td>1004(r0), r2</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>WB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
! First lines duplicated
lhi r20, hi(HANDLER)
or r20, r20, lo(HANDLER)
set.eha r20
!Cycle

000 001 002 003 004 005 006 007
add r1, r2, r3
lw  r4, 0(r5) IF ID EX MEM WB
ant r6, r7, r8 IF *ID* EXx
sub r9, r10, r11 IFx
and r12, r13, r15
or  r15, r16, r17

... ! Return address still in ERA.
lw  r1, 1000(r0) IF ID EX MEM WB
rfe  IF ID EX MEM WB
LINEX:
add r1, r2, r3 IFx
sub r4, r5, r6
xor r7, r8, r9
In all the problems below all register values are available when the code starts executing. The datapath is fully pipelined so execution of floating point operations can start in the cycle after results are produced, just as the integer instructions do. Unless they are provided, use the following latency and initiation intervals: add unit: latency 3, initiation interval 1; multiply unit: latency 5, initiation interval 1; divide unit: latency 19, initiation interval 20.

**Problem 2:** Show a pipeline execution diagram for the code below. The branch is not taken.

```plaintext
! Cycle: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
multd f0, f2, f4 IF ID M0 M1x
beqz r1, SKIP IF ID EX ME WB
multd f0, f0, f4 IF ID M0 M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 WB
add r1, r1, r2 IF -------------> M0 M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 WB
```

**Problem 3:** Show a pipeline execution diagram for the code below. The add functional unit has a latency of 3 and an initiation interval of 2. Hint: This problem tests knowledge of initiation intervals, use of functional units by different instructions, and usage of registers by single- and double-precision instructions.

```plaintext
! Cycle: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
LOOP:
gtd f12, f14 IF ID A1 A1 A2 A2 WB
add f0, f2, f4 IF ID -> A1 A1 A2 A2 WB
add f6, f8, f10 IF -> ID -> A1 A1 A2 A2 WB
add f16, f7, f18 IF -> ID -------> A1 A1 A2 A2 WB
```

**Problem 4:** Show a pipeline execution diagram for the code below starting from the first iteration until the CPI for a large number of iterations can be determined. What is that CPI?

The branch condition is bypassed to the ID stage so the branch does not have to stall for r1. (See 1998 HW 3.)

```plaintext
!Cycle: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
LOOP:
subi r1, r1, #1 IF ID EX ME WB IF ID EX ME WB
    IF ID EX ME WB
multd f0, f0, f2 IF ID M0 M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 WB
    IF ID ----> M0 M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 WB
    IF ID ----> M0
bneq r1, LOOP IF ID EX ME WB
    IF ----> ID EX ME WB
    IF ID EX ME
and r2, r3, r4 IFx IFx IFx

CPI is $\frac{6}{3} = 0.5$.