Problem 1: Answer each MIPS code question below. Try to answer these by hand (without running code).

(a) Show the values assigned to registers \( t1 \) through \( t8 \) (the lines with the tail comment \( \text{Val:} \)) in the code below. Refer to the MIPS review notes and MIPS documentation for details.

Solution appears below (to the right of SOLUTION, of course).

```
.data
myarray:
    .byte 0x10, 0x11, 0x12, 0x13
    .byte 0x14, 0x15, 0x16, 0x17
    .byte 0x18, 0x19, 0x1a, 0x1b
    .byte 0x1c, 0x1d, 0x1e, 0x1f

.text
la $s0, myarray # Load $s0 with the address of the first value above.
    # Show value retrieved by each load below.
    lbu $t1, 0($s0) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x10
    lbu $t2, 1($s0) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x11
    lbu $t2, 5($s0) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x15
    lhu $t3, 0($s0) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x1011
    lhu $t4, 2($s0) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x1213
    addi $s1, $0, 3
    add $s3, $s0, $s1
    lbu $t5, 0($s3) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x13
    sll $s4, $s1, 1 SOLUTION: Note: s4 <= 3<<1 = 6
    add $s3, $s0, $s4
    lhu $t6, 0($s3) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x1617
    sll $s4, $s1, 2 SOLUTION: Note: s4 <= 3<<2 = 12
    add $s3, $s0, $s4
    lhu $t7, 0($s3) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x1c1d
    lw $t8, 0($s3) # Val: SOLUTION: 0x1c1d1e1f
```

(b) The last two instructions in the code above load from the same address. Given the context, one of those instructions looks wrong. Identify the instruction and explain why it looks wrong. (Both instructions should execute correctly, but one looks like it’s not what the programmer intended.)

Register \( s0 \) holds an address that the programmer decided to call \texttt{myarray}, so let’s think of the data starting at that address as an array. Normally, to access element \( i \) of an array that starts at address \( a \), you load data at address \( a + i * s \), where \( s \) is the size of an array element. In the code fragment above, register \( s0 \) holds the starting address (\( a \) in the example). From the way the code is written it looks like register \( s1 \) is holding the element index (\( i \) in the example). Because the \texttt{sll} in the last group of four instructions is effectively multiplying \( s1 \) by 4, it looks like the load should be...
of the $s1$'th element of an array of elements of size 4. That’s consistent with the $lw$, which loads a 4-byte element, and the last $lhu$ looks out of place. The $lhu$ that loads $t6$ looks fine, because its address was computed from a value of $s1$ multiplied by 2.

(c) Explain why the following answer to the question above is wrong for the MIPS 32 code above: “The $lw$ instruction should be a $lwu$ to be consistent with the others.”

There is no $lwu$, because when loading a 32-bit quantity into a 32-bit register there is no need to distinguish between a signed and unsigned quantity. In contrast, the $lhu$ and $lh$ load a 16-bit quantity into a 32-bit register, the $lhu$ sets the high 16 bits to zero, it zero-pads, while $lh$ sets the high 16 bits to the value of the MSB of the loaded value, it sign extends.
Problem 2: Note: The following problem was assigned last year and two years ago and its solution is available. DO NOT look at the solution unless you are lost and can’t get help elsewhere. Even in that case just glimpse. Appearing below are incorrect executions on the illustrated implementation. For each one explain why it is wrong and show the correct execution.

(a) Explain error and show correct execution.

LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
lw r2, 0(r4) IF ID EX ME WB
add r1, r2, r7 IF ID EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

The add depends on the lw through r2, and for the illustrated implementation the add has to stall in ID until the lw reaches WB.

LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 SOLUTION
lw r2, 0(r4) IF ID EX ME WB
add r1, r2, r7 IF ID --> EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

(b) Explain error and show correct execution.

LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
add r1, r2, r3 IF ID EX ME WB
lw r1, 0(r4) IF ID --> EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

There is no need for a stall because the lw writes r1, it does not read r1.

LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 SOLUTION
add r1, r2, r3 IF ID EX ME WB
lw r1, 0(r4) IF ID EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
(c) Explain error and show correct execution.

LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
  add r1, r2, r3  IF ID EX ME WB
  sw r1, 0(r4)  IF ID --> EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

A longer stall is needed here because the sw reads r1 and it must wait until add reaches WB.

LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 SOLUTION
  add r1, r2, r3  IF ID EX ME WB
  sw r1, 0(r4)  IF ID ----> EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

(d) Explain error and show correct execution.

LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
  add r1, r2, r3  IF ID EX ME WB
  xor r4, r1, r5  IF ----> ID EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

The stall above allows the xor, when it is in ID, to get the value of r1 written by the add; that part is correct. But, the stall starts in cycle 1 before the xor reaches ID, so how could the control logic know that the xor needed r1, or for that matter that it was an xor? The solution is to start the stall in cycle 2, when the xor is in ID.

LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 SOLUTION
  add r1, r2, r3  IF ID EX ME WB
  xor r4, r1, r5  IF ID ----> EX ME WB
LOOP: # Cycles  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
Problem 3: Show the execution of the MIPS code below on the illustrated implementation. The register file is designed so that if the same register is simultaneously written and read, the value that will be read will be value being written. (In class we called such a register file internally bypassed.)

- Check carefully for dependencies.
- Pay attention to when the branch target is fetched and to when wrong-path instructions are stalled.
- Be sure to stall when necessary.

# SOLUTION
# Cycle 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
add r1, r2, r3 IF ID EX ME WB
sub r4, r1, r5 IF ID ----> EX ME WB
beq r1, r1, SKIP IF -----> ID EX ME WB
lw r6, 0(r4) IF ID -> EX ME WB
xor r7, r8, r9 IF -> x
SKIP:
ori r9, r10, 11 IF ID EX ME WB

# Cycle 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19