This Set

12-1

Material from Section 4.3

This set under construction.

Outline

- Branch Prediction Overview
- Bimodal (One-Level) Predictor
- Correlating (Two-Level) Predictors: local, global, gshare
- Other topics to be added.
- Sample Problems

EE 4720 Lecture Transparency. Formatted 15:33, 17 April 2015 from lsli12.

12-1

Branch and Target Prediction

12-2

Motivation

Branches occur frequently in code.

At best, one cycle of branch delay; more with dependencies.

Therefore, impact on CPI is large.

Techniques

Branch Prediction:
Predict outcome of branch. (Taken or not taken.)

Branch Target Prediction:
Predict branch or other CTI's target address.

12-2

Methods Covered

bimodal, a.k.a. *One-level predictor*

Correlating (Two-Level) Predictors

- *Global History*, a.k.a. *GAg*.
- *gshare*.
- *Local History*, a.k.a. *PAg*.

Branch Prediction Idea

12-4

Idea: Predict using past behavior.

Example:

```
LOOP:
 lw    r1, 0(r2)      # Load random number, either 0 or 1.
 addi  r2, r2, 4
 slt   r6, r2, r7
 beq   r1, r0 SKIP    # T N N T   N T T T N     # Random, no pattern.
 nop
 addi  r3, r3, 1
SKIP:
 bneq  r6, r0 LOOP    # T T T ... T N T T T    # 99 T's, 1 N, 99 T's, ...
 nop
```

Second branch, **bneq**, taken 99 out of 100 executions.

Pattern for **bneq**: T T T . . . T N T T T

First branch shows no pattern.

12-4

Prediction Accuracy

12-5

SPEC89 benchmarks on IBM POWER (predecessor to PowerPC).



FIGURE 4.14 Prediction accuracy of a 4096-entry two-bit prediction buffer for the SPEC89 benchmarks.



FIGURE 4.15 Prediction accuracy of a 4096-entry two-bit prediction buffer versus an infinite buffer for the SPEC89 benchmarks.

Branch Prediction Terminology

12-7

Outcome: [of a branch instruction execution].
The outcome of the execution of a branch instruction.

Resolution: [of a branch].
The determination of the branch outcome (by comparing register values or condition-code bits) and whether the prediction was correct.

T:
A taken branch.

N:
A branch that is not taken.

Prediction: [made by branch prediction hardware].
The predicted outcome of a branch.

Misprediction:
An incorrectly predicted outcome.

Prediction Accuracy: [of a branch prediction scheme].
The number of correct predictions divided by the number of predictions.

EE 4720 Lecture Transparency. Formatted 15:33, 17 April 2015 from lsli12.

12-7

Branch Prediction Terminology (Continued)

12-8

Speculative Execution:

The execution of instructions following a predicted branch.

Misprediction Recovery:

Undoing the effect of speculatively executed instructions ...

... and re-starting instruction fetch at the correct address.

12-8

Hardware Overview



One-Level Branch Predictor

12-10

One-Level Branch Predictor

Idea: maintain a *branch history* for each branch instruction.

Branch History:
Information about past behavior of the branch.

Branch histories stored in a *branch history table (BHT)*.

Often, branch history is sort of number of times branch taken...
... minus number of times not taken.

Other types of history possible.

Branch history read to make a prediction.

Branch history updated when branch outcome known.

12-10

Branch History Counter and *Two-Bit Counter*

If a counter used, branch history incremented when branch taken…

… and decremented when branch not taken.

Symbol n denotes number of bits for branch history.

To save space and for performance reasons …

… branch history limited to a few bits, usually $n = 2$.

Branch history updated using a *saturating counter*.

A saturating counter is an arithmetic unit that can add or subtract one …

… in which $x + 1 \rightarrow x + 1$ for $x \in [0, 2^n - 2]$ …

… $x - 1 \rightarrow x - 1$ for $x \in [1, 2^n - 1]$ …

… $(2^n - 1) + 1 \rightarrow 2^n - 1$ …

… and $0 - 1 \rightarrow 0$.

For an n-bit counter, predict taken if counter $\geq 2^{n-1}$.

One-Level Branch Predictor Hardware

12-12

Bimodal aka *One-Level Branch Predictor* Hardware

Illustrated for 5-stage MIPS implementation . . .
. . . even though prediction not very useful.

Branch Prediction Steps

1: *Predict*.

Read branch history, available in IF.

2: *Resolve* (Determine Branch Outcome)

Execute predicted branch in usual way.

3: *Recover* (If necessary.)

Undo effect of speculatively executing instructions, start fetching from correct path.

4: *Update* Branch History

Branch History Table

Stores info about branch outcomes.

Implemented using a memory device.

Address (called index) is hash of branch address (`PC`).

For 2^m-entry BHT, hash is m lowest bits of branch PC **skipping alignment**.

Data input and output of BHT is branch history.

Sample Local History

12-14

Outcomes for individual branches, categorized by pattern, sorted by frequency.

Branches running TEX text formatter compiled for SPARC (Solaris).

```
Arbitrary, pat 60288, br732164, 0.7743 0.7170 0.7199  (0.19675)
         % Patterns # Branches gshre local  corr   Local History
  0:      fe7f  0.0004     1397  0.912 0.916 0.896  TTTTTTTNNTTTTTTT  0
  1:      ff3f  0.0004     1323  0.924 0.909 0.900  TTTTTTNNTTTTTTTT  0
  2:      fcff  0.0004     1317  0.949 0.939 0.948  TTTTTTTTNNTTTTTT  0
  3:      ff9f  0.0003     1245  0.910 0.905 0.898  TTTTTNNTTTTTTTTT  0
  4:      f9ff  0.0003     1235  0.955 0.950 0.955  TTTTTTTTTNNTTTTT  0
  5:      ffcf  0.0003     1188  0.926 0.921 0.923  TTTTNNTTTTTTTTTT  0
  6:        60  0.0003     1163  0.873 0.829 0.854  NNNNNTTNNNNNNNNN  0
  7:       180  0.0003     1159  0.955 0.914 0.926  NNNNNNNTTNNNNNNN  0
  8:       300  0.0003     1158  0.949 0.926 0.934  NNNNNNNNTTNNNNNN  0
  9:        c0  0.0003     1155  0.944 0.917 0.926  NNNNNNTTNNNNNNNN  0
```

 12-15

```
Short Loop, pat 124, br  137681, 0.8908 0.9055 0.7441  (0.03700)
         % Patterns # Branches gshre local  corr   Local History
  0:     5555  0.0040    14753  0.987 0.981 0.912  TNTNTNTNTNTNTNTN  1
  1:     aaaa  0.0040    14730  0.859 0.978 0.461  NTNTNTNTNTNTNTNT  1
  2:     9249  0.0022     8062  0.997 0.992 0.988  TNNTNNTNNTNNTNNT  1
  3:     4924  0.0022     8055  0.997 0.998 0.998  NNTNNTNNTNNTNNTN  1
  4:     2492  0.0022     8047  0.993 0.991 0.009  NTNNTNNTNNTNNTNN  1
  5:     db6d  0.0013     4864  0.713 0.915 0.065  TNTTNTTNTTNTTNTT  1
  6:     b6db  0.0013     4713  0.862 0.903 0.926  TTNTTNTTNTTNTTNT  1
  7:     6db6  0.0012     4640  0.991 0.978 0.970  NTTNTTNTTNTTNTTN  1
  8:     bbbb  0.0008     3061  0.896 0.936 0.949  TTNTTTNTTTNTTTNT  1

Long Loop?, pat 32, br  185795, 0.9170 0.9052 0.9096  (0.04993)
  0:     fffe  0.0025     9204  0.902 0.930 0.913  NTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT  2
  1:     8000  0.0025     9198  0.654 0.700 0.705  NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNT  2
  2:     7fff  0.0022     8052  0.890 0.817 0.818  TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTN  2
  3:     ffbf  0.0018     6800  0.933 0.908 0.920  TTTTTTNTTTTTTTTT  2
  4:     feff  0.0018     6782  0.946 0.938 0.942  TTTTTTTTNTTTTTTT  2
  5:     ff7f  0.0018     6778  0.949 0.946 0.950  TTTTTTTNTTTTTTTT  2
  6:     fdff  0.0018     6738  0.947 0.941 0.946  TTTTTTTTTNTTTTTT  2
  7:        1  0.0018     6690  0.955 0.945 0.942  TNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN  2
  8:     fffd  0.0018     6667  0.968 0.966 0.967  TNTTTTTTTTTTTTTT  2
```

 12-16

```
Phase Change, pat 26, br 48190, 0.8453 0.9040 0.8470  (0.01295)
         % Patterns # Branches gshre local  corr   Local History
  0:     c000  0.0012     4554  0.653 0.777 0.680  NNNNNNNNNNNNNNTT  3
  1:     e000  0.0009     3420  0.714 0.859 0.758  NNNNNNNNNNNNNTTT  3
  2:     f000  0.0008     2942  0.756 0.888 0.788  NNNNNNNNNNNNTTTT  3
  3:     fffc  0.0008     2878  0.908 0.960 0.959  NNTTTTTTTTTTTTTT  3
  4:     f800  0.0007     2642  0.786 0.917 0.827  NNNNNNNNNNNTTTTT  3
  5:        3  0.0007     2572  0.968 0.952 0.951  TTNNNNNNNNNNNNNN  3
  6:     fc00  0.0007     2435  0.815 0.933 0.854  NNNNNNNNNNTTTTTT  3
  7:     fe00  0.0006     2225  0.836 0.936 0.876  NNNNNNNNNTTTTTTT  3
  8:     ff00  0.0006     2140  0.856 0.947 0.931  NNNNNNNNTTTTTTTT  3
  9:     ff80  0.0006     2061  0.854 0.941 0.934  NNNNNNNTTTTTTTTT  3


One Way, pat    2, br  2617433, 0.9917 0.9934 0.9897  (0.70337)
  0:     ffff  0.5151  1916950  0.993 0.996 0.993  TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT  4
  1:        0  0.1882   700483  0.988 0.986 0.982  NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN  4
```


12-16

Two-Level Correlating Predictors

Idea: Base branch decision on . . .

. . . the address of the branch instruction (as in the one-level scheme) . . .

. . . and the most recent branch outcomes.

History:

The outcome (taken or not taken) of the most recent branches. Usually stored as a bit vector with 1 indicating taken.

Pattern History Table (PHT):

Memory for 2-bit counters, indexed (addressed) by some combination of history and the branch instruction address.

Some Types of Two-Level Predictors

Global, a.k.a. *GAg*.

History is global (same for all branches), stored in a *global history register* (*GHR*).

PHT indexed using history only.

gshare

History is global (same for all branches), stored in a *global history register* (*GHR*).

PHT indexed using history exclusive-ored with branch address.

gselect

History is global (same for all branches), stored in a *global history register* (*GHR*).

PHT indexed using history concatenated with branch address.

Local, a.k.a., *PAg*.

History is local, BHT stores history for each branch.

PHT indexed using history only.

 12-20

Local History Predictor



 EE 4720 Lecture Transparency. Formatted 15:33, 17 April 2015 from lsli12. 12-20

Global History Example


```
! Loop always iterates 4 times.
! Branch below never taken.
 bneq r2, SKIP      N                     N
 addd f0, f0, f2
SKIP:
 addi  r1, r0, #4
LOOP:
 multd f0, f0, f2
 subi  r1, r1, #1
 bneq  r1, LOOP          T   T   T   N    ... T   T   T   N  ...
! Cycle               10  20  30  40  50  110 120 130 140 150
!
! Global History (m=4), X: depends on earlier branches.
! 10  XXXN  Human would predict taken.
! 20  XXNT  Human would predict taken.
! 30  XNTT  Human would predict taken.
! 40  NTTT  Human would predict not taken.
! 50  TTTN
```


12-21

Steps in BHT Use on a Dynamically Scheduled Proc.

12-22

Register `r1` not available until cycle ten[1].

Cycle 1: When branch in ID, read BHT and make prediction.

Cycle 1: (Optional) Backup (checkpoint) register map (if present).

Cycle 10: Execute branch in usual way and check prediction.

Cycle 11: If prediction correct, update BHT when branch commits.

Cycle 11: If pred. wrong, start recovery process (does not occur here).

```
! Predict not taken, not taken.
Cycle:           0   1   2    3             10   11   12  13
 bneq r1, TARGET IF  ID  Q          ...     B    WC
 xor r2, r3, r4      IF    ID Q  EX   WB                C
                                                            C
 ...
TARGET:
 and r5, r6, r7
```

---

[1] Perhaps due to a cache miss, or maybe it depended on a long-latency floating-point operation, the reason is not important

12-22

BHT use when branch taken, correctly predicted.

Register `r1` not available until cycle 10.

Cycle 1: When branch in ID, compute target, read BHT and make prediction.

Cycle 10: Execute branch in usual way.

Cycle 11: Check outcome. Correctly predicted.

Cycle 23: Commit branch after `div`.

```
! Predict taken, taken.
Cycle:            0   1   2    3              10   11   ... 21  22 23
 div f0,f2, f4    ID  Q   DIV                                DIV WC
 bneq r1, TARGET  IF  ID  Q          ...      B    WB               C
 xor r2, r3, r4       IFx

 ...
TARGET:
 and r5, r6, r7           IF...                                        C
```

BHT use when branch taken, incorrectly predicted, register map *not* backed up.

Register `r1` not available until cycle 10.

Cycle 1: When branch in ID, compute target, read BHT and make prediction.

Cycle 10: Compute branch condition.

Cycle 11: Misprediction "discovered." Because register map not backed up, recovery must wait until commit.

Cycle 23: Start recovery: Squash instructions in reorder buffer, start fetching correct path.

```
! Predict not taken, taken. Register map not backed up.
Cycle:           0   1   2    3            10   11   ... 21  22 23
 div f0,f2, f4   ID  Q   DIV                             DIV WC
 bneq r1, TARGET IF  ID  Q         ...     B    WB              C
 xor r2, r3, r4      IF  ID   Q  EX ...

 ...
TARGET:
 and r5, r6, r7                                                 IF ....
```

BHT use when branch taken, incorrectly predicted, register map backed up.

Register `r1` not available until cycle 10.

Cycle 1: When branch in ID, backup (checkpoint) register map, compute target, read BHT and make prediction.

Cycle 10: Compute branch condition.

Cycle 11: Misprediction discovered. Squash reorder buffer past branch, switch to backed up register map, start fetching correct path.

Cycle 23: Branch commits.

```
! Predict not taken, taken. Register map backed up.
Cycle:             0   1   2    3             10   11   ... 21  22 23
 div f0,f2, f4     ID  Q   DIV                              DIV WC
 bneq r1, TARGET   IF  ID  Q          ...     B    WB              C
 xor r2, r3, r4        IF  ID   Q  EX

 ...
TARGET:
 and r5, r6, r7                                    IF ....
```

Global History and Dynamic Scheduling

12-26

Global history must be accurate.

Why that's a problem:

```
! First branch: Predict not taken, taken.  Register map backed up.
Cycle:            0   1   2    3             10   11     12   13 ... 21  22 23
 div f0,f2, f4    ID  Q   DIV                                        DIV WC
 bneq r1, TARGET  IF  ID  Q         ...      B    WB                        C
 beqz r2, SKIP        IF  ID   Q    B    ...
 xor r2, r3, r4           IF   ID   EX ...

 ...
TARGET:
 and r5, r6, r7                                   IF     ID   Q   EX ...
 beqz r4, LINE1                                          IF   ID  Q ...
Cycle:            0   1   2    3             10   11     12   13 ... 21  22 23
```

Cycle 2: `beqz` should see global history with `bneq` not taken.

Global history includes *assumption* that `bneq` not taken.

12-26

```
! First branch: Predict not taken, taken.  Register map backed up.
Cycle:            0   1   2    3             10   11     12   13 ... 21   22 23
 div f0,f2, f4    ID  Q   DIV                                        DIV  WC
 bneq r1, TARGET  IF  ID  Q         ...      B    WB                         C
 beqz r2, SKIP        IF  ID   Q    B    ...
 xor r2, r3, r4           IF   ID   Q  EX...

 ...
TARGET:
 and r5, r6, r7                                    IF     ID   Q  ...
 beqz r4, LINE1                                           IF   ID ...
Cycle:            0   1   2    3             10   11     12   13 ... 21   22 23
```

Cycle 3: Now global history includes assumption that `bneq` and first `beqz` not taken.

Cycle 11: Ooops, `bneq` misprediction discovered.

Global history has two incorrect assumptions . . .
. . . unless they're fixed prediction for second `beqz` won't be accurate.

Cycle 12: `beqz` should see global history with `bneq` taken.

Global History and Dynamic Execution

12-28

Global History in Two-Level Predictor with Dynamic Execution

Global history backed up (*checkpointed*) at each branch.

Predicted outcome shifted into global history.

If misprediction discovered, global history restored from backup . . .
. . . just as the register map can be.

12-28

Target Prediction

12-29

Target Prediction:
Predicting the outcome and target of a branch.

Branch Target Buffer:
A table indexed by branch address holding a predicted target address.

Target Prediction

Put BTB in IF stage.

Use PC to read an entry from BTB.

If valid entry found, replace PC with predicted target.

With target correctly predicted, zero branch delay.

12-29

Target Prediction Example

12-30

Static scheduled system (for clarity).

```
Cycle:               0   1   2   3   4             10  11  12  13  14
 bneq r1, TARGET     IF  ID  EX  MEM WB            IF  ID  EX  MEM WB
 xor  r2, r3, r4                                           IF  ID  EX

TARGET:
 and  r5, r6, r7         IF  ID  EX  MEM WB            IF  X
```

Cycle 0

BTB lookup and prediction. Predict taken.

Target from BTB will be clocked into `PC`.

EE 4720 Lecture Transparency. Formatted 15:33, 17 April 2015 from lsli12.

12-30

Target Prediction Example, continued.

12-31

Static scheduled system (for clarity).

```
Cycle:                 0   1   2   3   4           10  11  12  13  14
 bneq r1, TARGET       IF  ID  EX  MEM WB          IF  ID  EX  MEM WB
 xor  r2, r3, r4                                           IF  ID  EX

TARGET:
 and  r5, r6, r7           IF  ID  EX  MEM WB          IF  X
```

Cycle 1

Start fetching predicted target.

Execute branch instruction (in ID).

Check predicted outcome and predicted target.

Correct predictions, continue execution.

12-31

Target Prediction Example, continued.

12-32

```
Cycle:             0   1   2   3   4             10  11  12  13  14
 bneq r1, TARGET   IF  ID  EX  MEM WB            IF  ID  EX  MEM WB
 xor  r2, r3, r4                                         IF  ID  EX

TARGET:
 and  r5, r6, r7       IF  ID  EX  MEM WB            IF  X
```

Cycle 10

BTB lookup and prediction. Predict taken.

Target from BTB will be clocked into `PC`.

Cycle 11

Start fetching predicted target.

Execute branch instruction (in `ID`).

Ooops, incorrect outcome prediction . . .
. . . replace target with `nop` . . .
. . . and clock correct target into `PC`.

12-32

Target Prediction for Register-Indirect CTI

12-33

What BTB predicts for branch instructions:

That instruction will be a CTI.

If CTI is a branch, that branch is taken.

CTI target.

For branches and non-indirect jumps (`j`, `jal`). . .

. . . predicting target is easy, since target always same.

```
bneq r1, LOOP     ! Target always PC + 4 + 4 * LOOP
j LINEJ           ! Target always PC + 4 + 4 * LINEJ
```

For register-indirect jumps (`jr`, `jalr`) . . .

. . . prediction depends on predictable behavior.

```
jr r1             ! Target is in r1. Can be different each time.
jalr r1           ! Target is in r1. Can be different each time.
```

Behavior of Register-Indirect Jumps

12-34

Predictability depends on how jumps used.

Major Uses

- Procedure Passed as Parameter

  For example, function passed to the C library's `qsort`.

  These rarely change so target is predictable.

- Case Statements

  These change, and so prediction more difficult.

12-34

Indirect Jump Target Prediction

12-35

Separate techniques used for procedure returns and other indirect jumps.

Return Address Prediction

Keep a stack of (what appear to be) return addresses.

Other Indirect Jumps Prediction

Predict last target.

Use global branch history to index BTB.

12-35

Predict Return Address

12-36

Used for return instruction. (An instruction used for a procedure return, which may not have the mnemonic `return`).

Operation

Hardware keeps a stack of return addresses.

BTB stores whether instruction is a return.

When a call instruction encountered push return address on stack.

When BTB identifies instruction as a return target address is popped off stack.

Effectiveness

Works fairly well.

Can be confused when returns skipped (as with long jumps).

Costly to implement precisely with dynamic scheduling.

Predict Last Target

Can be used for everything except return instructions.

Last time instruction executed target address stored in BTB.

If entry found and predicted taken (for a branch), last target address used.

Effectiveness:

- Perfect for non-indirect jumps and branches (if taken).
- Reasonably effective on indirect branches.
- Use Global History
  - Can be used for everything except return instructions.
  - Much more effective on than last target.

Target Prediction Example

12-38

Consider code for C `switch` statement:

```
! Possible code for a switch statement.
! switch( r2 ) { case 0: foo(); break; case 1: bar(); break; ... }
! Set r1 to base of switch address table.
 lhi  r1, #0x1234
 ori  r1, r1, #0x5670
! Multiply switch index by stride of table (4 bytes per address).
 slli r3, r2, #2
! Get address of case code address.
 add  r1, r1, r3
! Get case code address.
 lw   r4, 0(r1)
! Jump to case code.
 jr   r4
```

If `r2` rarely changes, `jr` predictable.

BTB Contents

12-39

Possible BTB Contents

Target address.

History information (replaces BHT).

Tag, to detect collisions.

12-39