Name _____

Computer Architecture
EE 4720
Final Examination

5 May 1999, 7:30–9:30 CDT

Problem 1	_____	(25 pts)
Problem 2	_____	(25 pts)
Problem 3	_____	(25 pts)
Problem 4	_____	(25 pts)
Exam Total	_____	(100 pts)

Alias _____

Good Luck!

Problem 1: With the following extension to the DLX ISA a program can get a count of how many times a particular address has been read or written by load and store instructions. The count is kept in a *load/store counter (LSC)* which is incremented whenever a load or store instruction uses a particular effective address. Two new instructions are added, `setlsc` (type I) and `getlsc` (type R). Instruction `setlsc r1,r2+#3` sets the effective address to `r1` and initializes the counter to `r2+#3`. Instruction `getlsc r3` copies the LSC to `r3`.

For example, consider the code below. The first instruction, `setlsc`, initializes the count to zero and sets the address to watch to the value of `r1`. When `lw` executes the count will be incremented because the addresses match. If `r1=r10-8` then the count will be incremented again when `sw` executes, otherwise the count will remain at one. The `getlsc` instruction will load `r2` with a two, if `r1=r10-8`, or a one, otherwise.

```
setlsc r1, r0+#0
lw r5, 0(r1)
sw 8(r10), r11
getlsc r2
add r3, r3, r2
add r4, r4, r2
```

(*a*) Show the changes necessary to add the two instructions to the pipeline below. (The illustration and program are repeated on the next page for convenience.)(20 pts)

- Include the hardware, including control, needed to set, increment, and read the LSC.
- The code above (and any other valid DLX program) must execute correctly and with as few stall cycles as possible.
- The solution can use basic gates, multiplexors, instruction recognizers (=getlsc, =setlsc, =load/store, etc.), equality testers (=), incrementers, and similar logic.
- Equality testers produce their output in $\frac{1}{2}$ cycle, instruction recognizers produce an output in much less than one cycle.

(*b*) If the solution above works correctly when instructions raise exceptions you've solved this part too. Otherwise, explain how an exception could result in incorrect execution and how it might be fixed. (Of course, the pipeline handled exceptions correctly before the changes for the first part.) (5 pts)



Use the next page for the solution.

Problem 1, continued:

```
setlsc r1, r0+#0
lw r5, 0(r1)
sw 8(r10), r11
getlsc r2
add r3, r3, r2
add r4, r4, r2
```



Problem 2: Provide pipeline execution diagrams for the code and machines indicated below. All machines have the following features in common:

- Dynamically scheduled processor using register renaming with a reorder buffer.
- Branch and branch target prediction (but no branch folding).
- Speculative execution past predicted branches with the reorder buffer used for misprediction recovery.
- Functional unit inputs connect to CDB (and reservation stations).
- Functional units and reservation stations are as listed below. The quantity of functional units is given for both an ordinary single-issue machine and a 4-way superscalar machine.

Quantity		Functional	Abbr.	Latency	Initiation	Reservation
Single	4-Way	Unit			Interval	Station Nums
1	1	Load/Store	L	1	1	0-1
1	4	Integer	EX	0	1	2-3,13-15
1	2	F.P. Add	A	1	1	4-6
1	1	F.P. Mul.	M	7	2	7-8
1	1	Branch	BR	0	1	9-10
1	1	F.P. Divide	DIV	22	23	11-12

(*a*) Show the execution of the code below on a single-issue (not superscalar) machine as described above.

The branch is predicted taken and its target is correctly predicted. However, the branch is in fact not taken. Show execution until the last instruction completes. Show when each instruction commits; indicate canceled instructions with an X. (Note: `ltf f0,f3` sets the floating-point condition register to `f0<f3`; `bfpt SKIP` branches to `SKIP` if the floating-point condition is true. The `ltf` instruction uses the FP add unit. (9 pts)

```
 addf  f0, f1, f2
 ltf   f0, f3
 bfpt  SKIP
 lf    f0, 0(r1)
SKIP:
 addf  f4, f0, f5
 addi  r1, r1, #4
```

(*b*) Show the execution of the code below on a single-issue (not superscalar) machine as described above. The branch is correctly predicted not taken. Show execution until the last instruction completes. Show when each instruction commits. Show the state of the reorder buffer when `addf` reaches writeback. (8 pts)

```
 multf f0, f1, f2

 ltf   f0, f3

 bfpt  SKIP

 lf    f0, 0(r1)

SKIP:

 addf  f4, f0, f5

 addi  r1, r1, #4
```

(*c*) Show the execution of the code below on a 4-way superscalar processor as described above. Instructions are fetched in aligned blocks of four. The branch is correctly predicted taken. All targets are correctly predicted. Show execution until the last instruction completes. Show when each instruction commits. (8 pts)

```
LINE0: ! LINE0 = 0x100c
 beqz r1, LINE1
 addf f0, f0, f1
 j LINE2
LINE1:
 addf f0, f0, f2
 add  r2, r2, r3
 and  r2, r2, r4
LINE2:
 addf  f0, f0, f3
 addf  f5, f5, f0
 addf  f6, f6, f0
 addf  f7, f7, f0
 addf  f8, f8, f0
 and   r5, r6, r7
 or    r8, r9, r10
 xor   r11, r12, r13
 sub   r14, r15, r16
```

Problem 3:

(*a*) A system is equipped with the cache shown below.



Determine a value for each of the following. **Be sure to specify units (bits, bytes, etc.)** (10 pts)

Character Size (Size of item at a single address.):

Associativity:

Block Size:

Number of Sets:

Cache Capacity (Amount of data that can be stored.):

Memory Needed to Implement Cache:

The problems on this page are for the cache described below, **not** *the cache from the previous page.*

Consider a system with a 64-bit address space ($a = 64$) which addresses the usual 8-bit (1-byte) characters ($c = 8$) and is equipped with a 2-way set-associative cache with 64-byte lines and 256 sets. The cache uses LRU replacement.

(*b*) Initially the cache is empty. What is the hit ratio for accesses to the array in the code below. Ignore all other memory accesses. (7 pts)

```
extern char *the_array;
// Note: sizeof(char) = 1 byte.
// &the_array[0] = 0x10000
for(i=0; i<16; i++) a += the_array[ i * 8 ];
```

(*c*) Choose values for `i_limit` and `stride` so that the program below completely fills the cache using the minimum number of accesses. Assume the cache is initially empty and only include accesses to the array. (8 pts)

```
extern char *the_array;
// Note: sizeof(char) = 1 byte.
// &the_array[0] = 0x10000

int i_limit =

int stride  =

for(i=0; i<i_limit; i++) a += the_array[ i * stride ];
```

Problem 4: Answer each question below.

(*a*) Show how the DLX instructions below are encoded. That is, write the instructions as numbers. Make up numbers for opcode and func fields, but use actual values for other fields. *Hint: If you can't remember field sizes look at the illustration for problem 1.* (5 pts)

```
 beqz r7, SKIP
 add r1, r2, r3
 xori r4, r5, #6
SKIP:
```

(*b*) Describe an advantage of VLIW over superscalar processors. Describe a disadvantage of VLIW over superscalar processors. (Use the VLIW ISA described in class.) (5 pts)

(*c*) What is a translation lookaside buffer (TLB) and what does it do? (5 pts)

(*d*) Show how an address can be constructed for the branch history table in an (m, n) two-level correlating branch predictor. (Two ways were presented in class, show either one.) (5 pts)

(*e*) Re-write the program below using DLX instructions. Additional registers may be used. (5 pts)

```
lw  r1,@(r2)          ! Memory indirect addressing.
add r3, r4, (r5)      ! Register indirect addressing.
ld  f0, (0xfedcba01) ! Direct addressing.
```